THREE CRETAN MANUSCRIPTS*

L CODEX MARCIANUS XL 19

As is well known, the codex XI. 19 (1391) of the library of S. Mark at Venice contains the greater part of extant Cretan drama. There has, however, been no satisfactory description of it, and with regard to that part of it containing the Fortunatos even as great a scholar as Xanthudides suffers from one notable misapprehension.

The manuscript, which was first dealt with by Mingarelli, in his catalogue of the Nanian collection, is an agglomeration of several separate works. The first part of it contains some religious writings: from folio 117r onwards, the contents are as follows:

- (I) 117r 145v Zeno
- (II) 147r 177v Gyparis
- (III) 178v-179v Phallidos
- (IV) 180r 207r Stathis
- (V) 210r-231r Abraham's Sacrifice
- (VI) 234r 241v Apocalypse of the Virgin
- (VII) 244r 336v Dialogue of Man and Death
- (VIII) 338bis (a single leaf fragment)
 - (IX) 342r 439v Fortunatos

The oldest of these, (with the exception of the fragment), is the Fortunatos. It was recognised from the beginning as being the autograph of Marcantonio Foscolo, from the number of alterations and of new lines inserted? But among the text there are notes in a quite different hand, that of Michele Foscolo, whose relationship to Marcantonio is not known. Labaste³

^{*)} My thanks are due to Mr. M. I. Manoussakas for his ever ready help and kindness in the preparation of this study.

¹⁾ A. Mingarelli, Graeci Codices Manuscripti apud Nanios Patricios Venetos Asservati. Bononiae 1784. See no. 89.

^{&#}x27;) Μ. Α. Φώσχολος, Φορτουνᾶτος ed. Σ. Ξανθουδίδης, Athens 1922. This edition notes all such emendations.

⁸⁾ H. Labaste, Une Comédie Crétoise Inédite. BZ 13 (1904) p391.

is not right in thinking that he was the poet's nephew, but has been misled by the apparent signature Jo. Michele Foscolo on folio 36or. This is in fact not a signature, but the address of a different person, and this Gianmichele Foscolo is the nephew of Michele.

The notes in this hand are as follows;

344r A di 9 Giunio 1669 S. V. (old style) <H>an-<n>o vegniuto i socorsi di candia per far campagnia.

347r The heading vacuo et fallo and some notes on cri. minal procedure.

348r vacuo et fallo

350v vacuo et fallo and legal notes

351r vacuo et fallo

354v - 355r Two attempts at a letter, completed on 392r.

36or Draft of a letter to his nephew, Gianmichele Foscolo, dated 2 June 1669.

372v Dated 15 May 1666, a poem of six lines in Greek written in Italian script. (See Appendix 1).

392r The letter of 354r in its final state. It is to an unnamed nobleman, and is apparently meant to accompany a copy of an ode on the victory of Giacomo Riva, the Venetian captain, which Foscolo has finally consented to have printed only from the agony of hearing it wrongly sung in the streets. Dated 2 June 1669, and signed Michel Foscolo. I can find no record of such a publication.

406v - 407r Draft of a dedication of an ode, presumably the same one, to a nobleman, descendant of Francesco Duodo, the hero of Lepanto.

Labaste, (and his opinion was followed by Xanthudides), saw in these various notes and letters that Marcantonio Foscolo was using for the script of Fortunatos some paper already partly used by someone else. The truth is exactly the opposite. In two cases Marcantonio turned over two pages at once. On the leaves thus left vacant, his successor Michele has been at pains to ensure that no interpolations in the comedy should be made, and has written vacuo et fallo-«blank, a mistake». All his other notes are written on the empty leaves between acts, or between the dedication and the prologue and Act I.

Michele's notes fall between 15 May 1666 and 9 June 1669.

The Fortunatos, therefore, must be dated not between June 1669 and the fall of Candia in the following September, but at some time during the siege before May 1666. The fact that Nicolas Demezo, to whom the play is dedicated, was born only in 1621, and came to prominence only as a member of the commission to welcome the Marquis de Ville in 1666, suggest that the end of this period is the most likely date.

The manuscript of the Fortunatos now passed into the hands of an unknown Cretan litterateur, presumably in the Ionian Islands, where so many of the refugees from Candia had gone. This person copied, in a small rather irregular Greek hand, Zeno, Gyparis. Phallidos, and Stathis. He also used the empty leaf between the first and second interludes of Fortunatos to write some fifty lines from the prologue of another comedy (Appendix 2). The heading of this page has been cut by the binders, and is unreadable except for the word, in Italian script, Prologos. The prologue is spoken by Aphrodite, who has come to earth to take revenge upon an uncomplaisant maiden. It has little poetic value, and is largely imitated (we might say plagiarised) from the second interlude of Fortunatos.

If we are to ask why the copying of a new play was apparently started on a single leaf, the answer may be found in the fact that prologues were interchangeable. For Gyparis we have extant two prologues ; and it is clear that the plot described in this piece, and breaking off at so convenient a point, could apply to very many of the comedies of the day. It could, for instance, apply to the Pastor Fido of Guarini, of which the Greek translation was published at Venice in 1658, and whose rather pedantic prologue by the river-god Alpheius may well seem unsuitable for common performance, and is in fact omitted in the only manuscript 5. It is not inconceivable that this prologue should be considered as a good useful stock introduction to the Pastor Fido, and that this part of the codex was in fact a theatrical text meant to supplement the hitherto published Greek plays - Pastor Fido, Erophile, King Rhodolinos, and Abraham's Sacrifice. The only

⁴⁾ Γύπαρης ed. Ε. Κριαράς, Athens 1940. See pp. 145 - 151.

⁵⁾ Cod, Marc. IX. 24 (1472).

known Cretan play missing from this collection is the Katsourbos, and when we remember that Buniales mentions this in the same passage (588 10 ff) as three printed works, (The Siege of Malta, Erophile, and King Rhodolinos), we may easily postulate an entirely unknown edition of Chortatzes' comedy.

If this theory is correct, Phallidos may easily be seen as a dramatic recitation, of the sort which, until quite recent years, was put on as an extra turn by companies of touring players in England and America. The tone of the last dozen lines, where the broken rake calls upon the «lords» to hearken and take warning from him, instead of laughing as they see him in this pitiful condition, fits very well with this supposition.

What seems quite certain is that Stathis and Zeno, at least, were copied from manuscripts written in Italian characters. The Italian letters ci represent both voi and ovoi, and many errors in both plays arise from a confusion of these two combinations, e. g. ο ποοδότη τση, δ θάνατό τση, for δ ποοδότης τση, δ θάνατός τση (Stathis Int. Il 88); εί τσ' for είς τσ' (Zen o I. 98, IV. 12, 277, 307.) . Other difficulties in the texts point to the same conclusion, e. g. γβάντι (guanti) for γουάντι (guanti) in Stathis III. 72; εχάθησα (echathisa) for εγλάκησα (eglachisa in Stathis III. 429; χοόνους (chronus) for θοόνους (thronus) in Zeno III, 76; and ποιοί (pii) for που (pu) in Zeno IV. 268. Moreover, in Stathis, the copyist has failed to disentangle all of the pedant's mixture of Greek and Italian, and some of his Greek is left untouched, as in «opu den eghi teri» (III. 128) for δπου δεν έχει ταῖοι: «chie italica na su tone sprimero» (III. 295) for nal tradinà và sov tore sprimero, and elsewhere.

The collection, which was probably not yet bound together, now came into the possession of a certain Zaneto Avuri. He himself copied the Apocalypse of the Virgin, in a sprawling hand in Italian characters, and completed a copy of Abraham's Sacrifice, which had been started by someone else in a much neater Italic script, and left in the middle of

⁶) Σ. Ξανθουδίδης, Ό Φαλλίδος (ΕΕΒΣ 4 (1927)). See pp. 102 - 3.

7) This error occurs often in the Cretan War, with presumably the same reason.

a page (line 631). He also procured a neat copy of the Dialogue of Man and Death, of which the last twelve pages were missing, and supplied the missing portion himself; the last leaf of the original is very worn, and the replacement is on quite different paper.

We know his name, and that of his sister Donna Chierazula (Kvoavooda) Avuri, from a draft of a legal document written on 178r, between Gyparis and Phallidos. He also supplied one missing folio of Fortunatos (357), and recopied, on the preceding page marked vacuo et fallo, twelve lines of Act I, Scene I, which had been made difficult to read by Foscolo's alterations.

Of this man we know nothing, but the name Avuri is that of a prominent Zacynthiote family, recorded since 1500, which graduated from bourgeois to noble rank in the year 1739. Members of the family in the period relevant to our manuscript are Petros, Protopsaltes of Zacynthos, who died in 1727, and Georgios, a notary active between 1708 and 1743. The word κυράτσα is also recorded from Zacynthos.

More exact dating is therefore impossible. The first part of the manuscript, before it was bound with the section that interests us, belonged between 1713 and 1727 to Anastasios Koutouphas and the priest Petros Koutouphas.

Folio 338 bis is a very small leaf whose provenance is unknown. It is not included in the original numbering and was probably inserted at a later binding. It may be rather older than the other texts, perhaps early seventeenth or late sixteenth century. I am dealing with this fragment elsewhere, and hope to show that, though it purports to be a prophecy, it is in fact part of a Byzantine satyrical song dating from the tenth century.

II. CODEX VINDOBONENSIS THEOLOGICUS GRAECUS 244

This manuscript was described by Sathas in a letter to Wagner, published in the introduction to Carmina Graeca Medii Aevi (Lipsiae 1874). Its importance in the study of medieval Greek poetry makes necessary some additional remarks.

^{*)} Λ. Χ. Ζώ η ς, Λεξικὸν Φιλολογικὸν καὶ Ἱστορικὸν Ζακύνθου. Zacyn* thos 1901. S. vv. *Αβούρης, Κυράτσα.

The codex is written, with some very small exceptions, by one scribe, whose writing, though presenting an even and pleasant impression, is not well-formed except when an obvious and conscious effort is being made. Such efforts are made in the astrological Method of Chaloueth the Prophet (132 - 167), and at the beginnings of the essay On Faith, (44), and of S. Athanasius' Reply to a Lord of Antioch (47): but as the hand soon relapses within the same works to its more normal form, Sathas' statement that these were written by different people cannot be upheld. The size of the writing varies greatly between the different works, and blank leaves are left between most items. This leads us to suppose that the collection as we have it was not originally intended as a single codex, but as a uniform edition of the most popular works of poetry and prose of the day. One of these, the Flower of the Graces, was included in the list of contents and the first (Greek) numbering, but later dropped out, leaving a lacuna of thirty - two leaves between 259 and 260.

Until lately, no comment had been made on the dating of the codex apart from the obvious terminus given by its acquisition by Ogier de Busbecq, who was Ferdinand I's ambassador to the Sultan on two occasions between 1559 and 1565. It can, however, be dated much more closely. The earliest possible date is given by Manuel Sclavos' poem on the earthquake of Crete, which took place on May 29th., 1508: it is probable that this date may be advanced four years, for although the end of Apollonius of Tyre is spurious, there is a strong presumption that it was written in or after 1512. And Acacios, whose episcopal signature is found on 83*v, was bishop of Naupactus and Arta from 15.6 to 1520.

The scribe we may identify from his signature at the end of Apollonius of Tyre (78v): $\Theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}$ $\delta \tilde{\omega} \phi o v$ $\kappa a i$ $\delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho (o v)$ $\kappa \delta v \rho \delta \psi a c$. Similar inscriptions, dated 1526 and 1547, are found on five liturgical manuscripts from Mount Athos.". The

^{*)} See Λ. Ν. Πολίτης, Παρατηρήσεις στον «'Απόχοπο» του Μπεργαδη. (Προσφορά είς Στίλπωνα Π. Κυριαχίδην, Salonica 1953) p. 550.

¹⁰) Π. Γ. Ζε ο λέντης, Θεσσαλονινέων μητροπολίται ἀπὸ Θεωνά τοῦ ἀπὸ ἡγοιμέψων μέχρι Ἰωάσαφ ᾿Αργυροπούλου (1520 - 1578). (BZ 12 (1903)) p. 131.

¹¹⁾ S. P. Lambros, Catalogue of the Greek MSS on Mount Athos, Cambridge 1895. Vol. I, pp. 256, 311.

fact that this Demetrius was a monastic reinforces the connection with Acacios, and suggests that the bishop, known otherwise only as a «harsh and vengeful man» who handed Christian preachers over to the Turks, may also have been the litterateur who commissioned the collection 12. So that while the possible range of dates is 1508 to 1520, the probable range covers only the last five years of this period. It may be noted also that Sclavos' poem, which claims to have 150 couplets, in fact contains 141. This suggests some degree of removal from its original form, and therefore from the year 1508.

III. CODEX BAROCCIANUS 216

This manuscript of the Barocci collection in the Bodleian library is a miscellany codex containing principally theological works. On folio 179r there is a short poem, a Lover's Complaint (Appendix 3) with references to Canea. It is autograph, by a scribe who has contributed only two quaternions to the volume. These cannot be dated on internal grounds, but have a watermark which suggests the first years of the sixteenth century 15.

The poem was first published by N. Politis 16, but in a

¹³⁾ For Acacios, see Σ. Βυζαντίου, Δοκίμιον Ιστορικής τινὸς περιλήψεως "Αρτης και Πρεβέζης, Athens 1884. p. 102.

¹⁸⁾ H. Pernot, Chansons populaires grecques des XVe et XVIe siècles. Paris 1931. pp. 88 - 98.

¹⁴) See H. Schreiner's articles in Byzantinische Zeitschrift, (39 (1939) pp. 15 - 36, 272 - 301; 42 (1942) pp. 233 - 254).

¹⁵) M. Briquet, Les Filigranes. Leipzig 1907. no. 696. The mark, a jewelled ring, is a Piedmontese design, and is quoted from Genoa in 1506.

¹⁶) Ν. Γ. Πολίτης, 'Ανέκδοτον Κρητικόν Ποίημα. (Κρητικός Λαός Ι (1909) pp. 97 - 99).

short · lived and rare periodical, and from a very inaccurate copy. It is, as he says, of less than moderate value, but has a considerable interest as one of our rare autographs of Cretan poems (if indeed it is Cretan: $\mathring{\eta}\sigma\pi\acute{\iota}\iota\iota\nu$ (14) and $\mathring{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ for $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\eta}\nu$ (4) seem Cypriot).

Politis drew attention to a startling similarity with the Simaetha of Theocritus' second idyll, who complains of her lover

ος μοι δωδεκαταῖος ἀφ' ὧ τάλας οὐδέποθ' ἴκει ¹⁷ οὐδ' ἔγνω, πότερον τεθνάκαμες ἢ ζοοὶ εἰμές. (4 - 5)

and says

βασεθμαι, ποτὶ τὰν Τιμαγήτοιο παλαίστραν αὔριον, ὡς νιν ἔδω καὶ μέμψομαι οἶά με ποιεῖ. (9 - 10)

But he concludes that this is coincidence, and that the Cretan woman does not have recourse to charms and magic. Politis, however, was reading raνω in line 15: the reading raνω suggests even more strongly that Theocritus, however unlikely it may seem, was in the poet's mind, and this may be even an attempt at adaptation of the whole idyll.

APPENDICES

1 Cod. Marc. XI. 19. Folio 372v.

O mana venetia, pos ta pomegnis
chie isegnia dhe thoro na begnis
Ti criti polemune opu pethi su
chie afignis nati baru igioghurhi[
Codo dhe to categhis pri to mathis
pos gdheghicte aposena voithia nagh[

("Ω μάνα Βενετιά, πῶς τ' ἀπομένεις,
καὶ εἶ; ἔγνοια δὲ θωοῶ τὰ μπαίνης;
Τὴ Κοἡτη πολεμοῦνε ὁποὺ'ν' παιδί σου,
καὶ ἀφήνεις νὰ τὴν πάρου οἷ γι-ὀχουρθοί σου;
Κοντὸ δὲ τὸ κατέχεις πρὶ τὸ μάθης,
πὰς γδέχεται ἀπὸ σένα βοήθεια νά'χη;)

4. Cf. οχουθοοί (εχθροί) in Cretan War 303.10.

¹⁷⁾ This being the reading used by the poetaster, and not ποθείκει,

2 Cod. Marc. XI. 19. Folio 421v.

< 'Αφοοδίτη>

Σήμερο ἐκατέβηκα 'που τζ' δρανούς ἀπάνω, στον τόπο ετούτο ώς βλέπε < τε >, κ' ἦοθα ὀγιά να γιάνω ένα που 'ς τόσα κίνδυνα βρίσκεται μπερδεμένος δγιά μνία κόρη όπου αγαπά και είναι αποθαμένος, και όλημεονίς τὰ πάθη του μὲ κλάηματα δηγαται 5 "ποκατωθιό είς τὸ σπίτι τζη, μὰ αὐτὴ δέν τὸ < ν > λυπᾶται. Και ενώ, θωοώντας απονιά σ'αυτή τόσα μεγάλη, να κατεβώ έκ τους όρανους στο νοῦ μο ν είχα βάλει, για να την κάμω παρευθύς σ' αὐτόνο να συγκλίνη καί σκλάβα του παντοτεινή πάραυτας ν' ἀπομείνη, 10 την ώρα νὰ μηδὲ θωρῆ 'ποκεῖ γιὰ νὰ περάση νὰ τόνε δῆ γιὰ νὰ χαρῆ, πολλὰ νὰ ἀναγαλλιάση. Και 'κει άπου δεν ήθελε να τον ανατρανίση. θά τηνε κάμω δγι'αὐτὸ νά 'χη περίσσα κρίση, καὶ μέσ' <σ>τὰ φυλλοκάρδια τζη τόση φωτιὰ μεγάλη 15 θε νὰ την κάμω νὰ γρικᾶ παρὰ γυναῖκα ἄλλη. Γιατί δέν είναι τὸ πρεπὸ σκληρότη ἔτζι μεγάλη μέσα τζη νά 'χη καθεμιιά, μὰ λύπη νά 'χη πάλι. Κάθα κοράσο είναι ποεπό τόσα νὰ μὴ συγκλίνη στον ἄ<ν>τρα δπου την άγαπᾶ, μὰ νά χη σπλαχνοσύνη. 20 Καθώς θωρεί πρέπει κι' αὐτὴ σ' ἔνα δπου γνωρίση τὸ πώς γι' αὐτήτε κρίτεται καὶ θε νὰ ξεψυχήση, νὰ ἔχη λύπηση σ' αὐτὸ κι ὅσο μπορὰ βοηθήση σ' κείνο που 'κτάσσειαι όγι' αυτή να κακοθανατίση, μά νὰ συγκλίνη μετ' αὐτὸ, ταῖοι γιὰ νὰ γενοῦσι, 25 τὰ βάσανα νὰ λυώσουσι κ' είσε χαρές νὰ 'ρθοῦσι. Γι' αντος λοιπό ήρθα ώς εδώ και βρίσκομαι ομπροστά σας, ποὺ ἄλλη φορά δὲ μέ 'δασι τὰ μάτια τὰ δικά σας. Μὰ βλέπω πὼς πολλότατοι ποιά 'μαι δε με γνωρίζου, μά με μεγάλους λογισμούς τὸ νοῦ ντως περγιορίζου. 30 'Εγώ 'μαι έκείνη, ξέρετε, που κάνω δλα καὶ ζοῦσι τὰ πράματα καὶ θρέφουνται καὶ δὲν μπορά γαθοῦσι. 'Εγώ τζ' ἀνθρώπους, τὰ πουλιά, τὰ δέντρη καὶ πᾶσ' ἄλλο

^{2.} κάμω cod

^{18.} μάχη καὶ ἀγάπη καὶ τὰ δυὸ deleted: μὰ λύπη νά 'χη πάλι written above.

^{20.} ηγαπα cod.

κάνω λοιπό και σμίγουσι το ένα με το αλλο.	
Έγω 'μαι ἔτοια καὶ παίρνουνται ὅλα τως καὶ γεννοῦσι	35
καὶ ώσὰ θεὰ όπου βρίσκομαι ὅλα με προσκυνοῦσι.	
Καὶ ἀνισωστάς κ' ἤλειπα ἐγὼ, ἡ κτίση είχε χαλάσει,	
'πὸ ἀθρώπους, ζῷα, κ' έρπετὰ ὅλη ἤθελε ἔρημάσει.	
Μά με τη θέλησή μου εμε βοίσκεται στολισμένος	
με τόσες δόξες κι δμορφιές δ κόσμος γεμισμένος.	4 0
Και ἀποὺ την ὁμορφιά μου ἐμὲ παίρνου κι αὐτοὶ τὰ κάλλη,	
καὶ καθαείς τὰ φέγεται κ' ἔχει χαφὰ μεγάλη.	
Έγὰ ὅντας θὲ νὰ κατεβῶ, ὁ Οὐρανὸς τρομάσσει	
και μ' αστραπές και με βροντές τὸ < ν > κόσμο θα χαλάση,	
γιατί, λογιάζει, το θρονί τοῦ όρανοῦ θ' ἀφήσω,	45
να οθω στον κόσμο ετούτονε κάτω να κατοικήσω.	
Γι' αὖτος συγχύζεται πολλά, μὰ ὅσα στραφοῦ νὰ δοῦσι	
τὸ πρόσωπό μου τ' ὄμορφο, ὅλα καταχαλοῦσι,	
καὶ τὰ στοιχεῖα τρέχουσι κι δπίσω μ' ἀκλουθοῦσι,	
κι ώσα θεά τως ταπεινά όλα με προσκυνοῦσι	50
Έγω 'μαι τὸ λοιπὸ ή θεὰ που τζ' ὁμορφιές δρίζω,	
κι όπου θελήσω δίδω τζι, κι άλλου πάλι κρατίζω.	
'Η γι' 'Αφροδίτη είμαι έγω, τοῦ Ζεῦ ή θυγατέρα,	
που δ ήλιος λάμπει μετά με, και φέγγει την ημέρα.	
Καὶ τ'ἄστοη ὁπου <'ν'> στὸν οὐρανὸ μαζὶ μὲ τη σελήνη	55
με τζι ομορφιές μου λάμπουσι και φέγγουσι και κείνη.	
Την αφορμή έγροικήσετε που έδω είχε με φέρει	
άποὺ τὰ τψη τ' ὀρανοῦ σὲ τοῦτά σας τὰ μέρη.	
Compare Fortunatos Inter. II. 100 - 118	
ή δμορφιά μου,	100
ή δποιά 'χει τόση δύναμι, δποὺ ὅχι μόνο σέρνει	100
τὰ πράματα τὰ ἐπίγεια, μὰ το ἔδιους θεούς φέρνει	
κάτω στη γη ἀπού τοὶ ὀρανούς, καὶ κάνει τσι καὶ γνώθου	
τολ άγάπης τὰ γλυκίσματα καὶ τολ φωτιές τοῦ πόθου.	
ετι αγακης τα γκοκισματά και τοι φώτιες του πουσυ. Ετούτη μόνο είναι άφορμη κι' όλα στο κόσμο ζοῦσι	105
τὰ πράματα, καὶ στρέφουνται καὶ δὲ μπορὰ χαθοῦσι.	100
Τούτη τὰ χόρτα, τὰ πουλλιὰ, τὰ δέντρη καὶ τοὶ ἀθρώπους	
κάνει συχνιά καὶ σμίγουσι ἀλλήλως τως μὲ τρόπους	
narce outrea has operator annihous ews he separate	
36. πάνια τως is written above όλα μέ, and then deleted.	
37. 81718 cod.	

πολλώ λογιώ, καὶ ἀποδεκεῖ σπέονουνται καὶ γεννοῦσι
στὸ κόσμο καὶ πληθαίνουσι καὶ πάντα τως κοατοῦσι,
κι ἄν εἶχε λείπει, ἐπόμενεν ὁ κόσμος χαλασμένος,
καὶ ἀπ' ὅλα τὰ ποιήματα γδυμνὸς καὶ οημασμένος.
Μὰ μὲ τὸ μέσο τσι ἐκεινῆς βοίσκεται στολισμένος
μὲ τσὶ ὁμορφιές, ὁποὺ θωρεῖς κ' εἶναι ὅλος γεμισμένος.
Λοιπὸ ἄν ἔγὼ τὰ πράματα ὅλα τοῦ κόσμου ὁρίζω,
καὶ τοὶ ὁμορφιές ἀπό 'χουσι μόνια μου τῶς χαρίζω,
καὶ ἀποὺ τὴν ὀμορφιά μου ἔμὲ παίρνου κι αὐτὰ τὰ κάλλη,
καὶ κάθα εἶς τὰ ρέγεται κ' ἔχει χαρὰ μεγάλη,

3 Cod. Barocc. 216. Folio 179r

'Αλέμονον ή τάλαινα, πῶς ἄρξωμαι τοῦ λόγου, τῆς τύγης μου τῆς πονηρᾶς τὸ πᾶν εἰπεῖν καθόλου: Τίς μου την θλίψιν έξελει, τίνος αυτό θαροέσω. και τίς ἀκοῦσαι δύναται, και τίνων μεν ἀφέσω: Την φλόγα, την πολλην πυράν την έχει ή καρδιά μου, 5 τίς νὰ τὴν σβέση δυνηθῆ, καὶ νά 'ναι παρηγοριά μου; Τίς νὰ γιατρεύση την πληγήν την έγω ἀπατός μου. (?) την μόδωκεν, αλίμονο, κ' ἔσφαξε τὰ ἐντός μου: Οὐδεν ήξεύρω ή καὶ ζῶ, ή ζωντανή δεν είμαι, άπὸ τὸν πόνο τὸν πολύν δὲ ξεύρω πόθεν κεῖμαι. 10 Πληγην μεγάλην μοδδωκεν νέος δπου ηγάπων. όπου 'γε πάντα μετ' έμου, και τουτον πάντως *...* Δώδεκα μέρες ἀριθμῶ ἀφοῦ κεῖνος οὐκ ἦλθεν είς τὸ ἠοπίτιν τὸ έμὸν καὶ φαίνεται κι ἀπῆλθεν. Πορεύσομαι λοιπὸν εγώ εκεῖνο νὰ σύρω τώρα, 15 νά 'οθη στό σπίτι το καλόν είς των Χανιών την γώρα. και να δῶ τοῦτον να μεμφθῶ και να κατηγορήσω είς α μοι κάμνει δ άθλιος, καὶ νὰ τὸν ονειδίσω.

9. Ovn oider. u or deleted.

11 - 12. όπου ήγάπων...πάντως is written above τινὰς όπου 'δα, ἄψε καὶ σφάζομαι λοιπὸν εἰς τῶν χανιῶν τὴν σούδα, of which only ἄψε καὶ σφάζομαι is deleted.

13. γίνονται deleted, and ἀριθμῶ written above. πληγώθην δλη deleted and κεῖνος οὐκ ἡλθεν written above.

14. καρδιάν καὶ τὴν κεφάλην καὶ τὰ νεφρὰ deleted.

GARETH MORGAN