

ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ
ΤΜΗΜΑ ΜΗΧΑΝΙΚΩΝ ΧΩΡΟΤΑΞΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑΚΗΣ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΗΣ

ΣΕΙΡΑ ΕΡΕΥΝΗΤΙΚΩΝ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΩΝ

**Rational n-Dimensional Spaces and
the Property of Universality**

97-10

D. N. Georgiou * and S. D. Iliadis**



DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

UNIVERSITY OF THESSALY
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT

**Rational n-Dimensional Spaces and
the Property of Universality**

97-10

D. N. Georgiou * and S. D. Iliadis**



ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ
ΥΠΗΡΕΣΙΑ ΒΙΒΛΙΟΘΗΚΗΣ & ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΗΣΗΣ
ΕΙΔΙΚΗ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΗ «ΓΚΡΙΖΑ ΒΙΒΛΙΟΓΡΑΦΙΑ»

Αριθ. Εισ.: 2641/1
Ημερ. Εισ.: 25-02-2004
Δωρεά: Π.Θ.
Ταξιθετικός Κωδικός: Α
510.7
ΓΕΩ

*University of Thessaly, Department of Planning and Regional Development and Department of Civil Engineering

**University of Patras, Department of Mathematics

**RATIONAL n -DIMENSIONAL SPACES
AND THE PROPERTY OF UNIVERSALITY**

D. N. Georgiou

University of Thessaly, Faculty of Technological Sciences
Department of Planning and Regional Development,
Department of Civil Engineering, 383 34 Volos, Greece

S. D. Iliadis

Department of Mathematics
University of Patras
261 10 Patras, Greece

In this paper we prove that in the family of all metrizable separable spaces having rational dimension $\leq n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a universal element.

Introduction. All spaces considered in this paper are separable metrizable. Let Sp be a family of spaces. We define a family $\mathcal{R}(\text{Sp})$ of spaces as follows: a space X belongs to $\mathcal{R}(\text{Sp})$ iff X has a basis \mathcal{B} for open sets such that the boundary of every element of \mathcal{B} belongs to Sp . We set $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\text{Sp}) = \{\emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{R}^0(\text{Sp}) = \text{Sp}$ and $\mathcal{R}^n(\text{Sp}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}^{n-1}(\text{Sp}))$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In the sequel we denote by \mathcal{M} the family of all countable spaces. (The empty set and finite sets are considered to be countable). Since \mathcal{M} is a *normal family of spaces* (see [H]), for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the family $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$ is also a normal family, that is, every subspace of any element of $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$ is an element of $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$ and a space which is a countable union of closed subsets belonging to $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$, belongs also to $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$. The elements of $\mathcal{R}^n(\mathcal{M})$ are called spaces having *rational dimension* $\leq n$ (see, for example, [N]) or *n -dimensional rational spaces* (see [Me]). Obviously, a space X is *rational* (see [Ku]) iff X is an 1-dimensional rational space, that is, iff $X \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$.

A space T is said to be *universal for a family* Sp of spaces iff $T \in \text{Sp}$ and for every $X \in \text{Sp}$ there exists an embedding of X into T . In [I₃] (see also [M-T₁]) it has been proved that in the family $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M})$ of all rational spaces there exists a universal element. The property of universality for some subfamilies of rational spaces has been studied, for example, in the papers: [I₁], [I₂], [I₄], [I₅], [I-Z], [M-T₂], [Nö].

The main result of the present paper is the following: in the family of all

n -dimensional rational spaces there exists a universal element. The method used for the proof of this result is a modification of the methods of papers [I₁], [I₃], [I₄], [I₅].

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations and definitions.

Let F be a subset of a space X . By $\text{Bd}(F)$ (or $\text{Bd}_X(F)$), $\text{Cl}(F)$ (or $\text{Cl}_X(F)$), $\text{Int}(F)$ (or $\text{Int}_X(F)$) and $|F|$ we denote the boundary, the closure, the interior and the cardinality of F respectively. If X is a metric space, then the diameter of F is denoted by $\text{diam}(F)$. Let Q and K be disjoint closed subsets of a space X . We say that an open subset U of X separates Q and K iff either $Q \subseteq U$ and $K \subseteq X \setminus \text{Cl}(U)$ or $K \subseteq U$ and $Q \subseteq X \setminus \text{Cl}(U)$. We denote by N the set $\{0, 1, \dots\}$.

We use the symbol " \equiv " in a relation $A \equiv B$ in two cases: (α) in order to introduce two distinct notations, A and B , for the same object (set, ordered set, space, map, etc.), and (β) in order to introduce a notation, A or B (if B or A , respectively is a known notation), without mentioning this fact.

We denote by L_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the set of all ordered n -tuples $i_1 \dots i_n$, where $i_t = 0$ or 1 , $t = 1, \dots, n$. Also we set $L_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $L = \bigcup \{L_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$. For $n = 0$, by $i_1 \dots i_n$ we denote the element \emptyset of L . We say that the element $i_1 \dots i_n$ of L is a part of the element $j_1 \dots j_m$ and we write $i_1 \dots i_n \leq j_1 \dots j_m$ iff either $n = 0$, or $0 < n \leq m$ and $i_t = j_t$ for every $t \leq n$. The elements of L are denoted by \bar{i} , \bar{j} , \bar{i}_1 , etc. If $\bar{i} = i_1 \dots i_n$, then by $\bar{i}0$ (respectively, $\bar{i}1$) we denote the element $i_1 \dots i_n 0$ (respectively, $i_1 \dots i_n 1$) of L .

We denote by Λ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the set of all ordered n -tuples $i_1 \dots i_n$, where i_t , $t = 1, \dots, n$, is a positive integer. We set $\Lambda = \bigcup \{\Lambda_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. The elements of Λ are denoted by $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\beta}$, etc. Let $\bar{\alpha} = i_1 \dots i_n$ and $\bar{\beta} = j_1 \dots j_m$. We say that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a part of $\bar{\beta}$ and we write $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta}$ iff $1 \leq n \leq m$ and $i_t = j_t$ for every $t \leq n$. Obviously, if $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_n$ and $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta}$, then $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}$. Also, for every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_n$ the set of all elements $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{n+1}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta}$ is a countable non-finite set.

We denote by C the Cantor ternary set. By $C_{\bar{i}}$, where $\bar{i} = i_1 \dots i_n \in L$, $n \geq 1$, we denote the set of all points of C for which the t^{th} digit in the ternary expansion, $t = 1, \dots, n$, coincides with 0 if $i_t = 0$ and with 2 if $i_t = 1$. Also we set $C_{\emptyset} = C$. For every point a of C and for every integer $n \in N$, by $\bar{i}(a, n)$ we denote the uniquely determined element $\bar{i} \in L_n$ for which $a \in C_{\bar{i}}$. If $\bar{i}(a, n+1) = i_0 \dots i_n$, $n \in N$, then by $i(a, n+1)$ we denote the number i_n . For every subset F of C and for every integer $n \in N$, we denote by $\text{st}(F, n)$ the union of all sets $C_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L_n$, such that $C_{\bar{i}} \cap F \neq \emptyset$. If $F = \{a\}$ we set $\text{st}(a, n) = \text{st}(F, n)$. Obviously $\text{st}(a, n) = C_{\bar{i}(a, n)}$.

A partition of a space X is a set D of closed non-empty subsets of X such

that (α) if $F_1, F_2 \in D$ and $F_1 \neq F_2$, then $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$, and (β) the union of all elements of D is X . The *natural projection* of X onto D is the map p defined as follows: if $x \in X$, then $p(x) = F$, where F is the uniquely determined element of D containing x . The *quotient space* of the partition D is the set D with a topology which is the minimal (with respect to the open sets) for which the map p is continuous. (We observe that we use the same notation for a partition of a space and for the corresponding quotient space). The partition D is called *upper semi-continuous* iff for every $F \in D$ and for every open subset U of X containing F there exists an open subset V of X which is union of elements of D such that $F \subseteq V \subseteq U$.

I. Representations of spaces corresponding to a given basis of open sets.

In the sequel, n is a fixed integer of $N \setminus \{0\}$.

1. Definition. Let \mathcal{B} be a family of open sets of $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$. It is possible that for distinct elements U and V of \mathcal{B} we have $U = V$. We say that \mathcal{B} has the *property of boundary intersections* iff for every integer k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and for every mutually distinct elements V_1, \dots, V_k of \mathcal{B} we have

$$\bigcap \{\text{Bd}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, k\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}(M).$$

It is not difficult to prove the following two lemmas.

2. Lemma. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$ and \mathcal{B} be a basis for open sets of X . Then there exists a countable locally finite open covering π of X such that for every $U \in \pi$ we have $\text{Bd}(U) \subseteq \text{Bd}(V_0) \cup \dots \cup \text{Bd}(V_m)$ for some elements V_0, \dots, V_m of \mathcal{B} .

3. Lemma. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$, F be a closed subset of X , $F \in \mathbb{R}^k(M)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, $x \in F$ and V_0 be an open neighbourhood of x in X . Then there exists an open set V of X such that: (α) $x \in V \subseteq V_0$, (β) $\text{Bd}(V) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}(M)$ and (γ) $F \cap \text{Bd}(V) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}(M)$.

The Lemmas 2 and 3 are used for the proof of the following lemma, which is also stated without proof.

4. Lemma. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$, K and Q be disjoint closed subsets of X and F_i , $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, be a closed subset of X such that $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^i(M)$ and $F_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{n-1}$. Then there exists an open subset U of X such that:

- (1) The set U separates K and Q and $K \subseteq U$,

- (2) $\text{Bd}(U) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}(\mathbb{M})$, and
(3) $F_i \cap \text{Bd}(U) \in \mathbb{R}^{i-1}(\mathbb{M})$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

5. Theorem. A space X belongs to $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ iff there exists a basis \mathcal{B} for open sets of X having the property of boundary intersections.

Proof. Obviously, it is sufficient to prove that if $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$, then X has a basis \mathcal{B} for open sets with the property of boundary intersections. We can suppose that X is a metric space. Let $\{V_0, V_1, \dots\}$ be a basis for open sets of X . For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let V^j be an open set of X such that $\text{Cl}(V^j) \subseteq V^j$ and $\text{diam}(V^j) \leq 3 \text{diam}(V_j)$. We set $K^j = \text{Cl}(V^j)$ and $Q^j = X \setminus V^j$. Obviously, $K^j \cap Q^j = \emptyset$.

Using Lemma 4 we can construct by induction an open subset U_j of X , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

- (1) The set U_j separates the closed subsets K^j and Q^j and $K^j \subseteq U_j$.
(2) $\text{Bd}(U_j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}(\mathbb{M})$.
(3) If F_t^j , $j \geq 1$, $1 \leq t \leq n$, is the union of all sets of the form $\text{Bd}(U_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap \text{Bd}(U_{i_t})$, where $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \subseteq \{0, \dots, j-1\}$ and $|\{i_1, \dots, i_t\}| = t$, then $F_t^j \cap \text{Bd}(U_j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathbb{M})$.

It is easy to prove that the set $\mathcal{B} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots\}$ is the required basis for open sets of X having the property of boundary intersections.

6. Definitions and Notations. Let X be a space. Suppose that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have two closed subsets $A_0^k(X) \equiv A_0^k$ and $A_1^k(X) \equiv A_1^k$ of X such that $A_0^k \cup A_1^k = X$. (It is possible that either $A_0^k = \emptyset$ or $A_1^k = \emptyset$). By $\sigma_k(X) \equiv \sigma_k$ we denote the ordered closed cover $\{A_0^k, A_1^k\}$ of X . It is possible that for distinct indexes i and j , the ordered covers σ_i and σ_j of X coincide, that is, $A_0^i = A_0^j$ and $A_1^i = A_1^j$, while these covers are considered to be distinct elements of Σ . The ordered set $\Sigma = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots\}$ is called *basic system for X* iff for every $x \in X$ and for every open neighbourhood U of x in X there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in A_0^k \setminus A_1^k \subseteq A_0^k \subseteq U$.

In what follows of Section I, X is a fixed space and $\Sigma = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots\}$ is a fixed basic system for X , where $\sigma_k = \{A_0^k, A_1^k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$

For every integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\text{Fr}(\sigma_k) = A_0^k \cap A_1^k$. Also, we set

$$\text{Fr}(\Sigma) = \bigcup \{\text{Fr}(\sigma_k) : k = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

For every $\vec{i} = i_1 \dots i_k \in L_k$, $k > 0$, we set $X_{\vec{i}} = A_{i_1}^0 \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}^{k-1}$. Also, we set $X_{\emptyset} = X$. It is easy to see that $X_{\vec{j}} \subseteq X_{\vec{i}}$, if $\vec{i} \leq \vec{j}$, and $X = \bigcup \{X_{\vec{i}} : \vec{i} \in L_k\}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define a subset $S(X, \Sigma) \equiv S$ of C as follows: a point a of C belongs to S iff $X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots \neq \emptyset$. For every $a \in S$ the set $X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots$ is a singleton. Indeed, let $x, y \in X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots$ and $x \neq y$. Since Σ is a basic system for X , there exists an integer $k \in N$ such that $x \in A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$ and $y \notin A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$, that is, $x \in A_0^k$, $y \notin A_0^k$ and $x \notin A_1^k$, $y \in A_1^k$. Since, either $X_{\bar{i}(a,k+1)} = X_{\bar{i}(a,k)} \cap A_0^k$ or $X_{\bar{i}(a,k+1)} = X_{\bar{i}(a,k)} \cap A_1^k$ we have that either $y \notin X_{\bar{i}(a,k+1)}$ or $x \notin X_{\bar{i}(a,k+1)}$, which is a contradiction. We define a map $q(X, \Sigma) \equiv q$ of S into X as follows: if $X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots = \{x\}$, then we set $q(a) = x$. Also we set $D(X, \Sigma) \equiv D = \{q^{-1}(x) : x \in X\}$. By $h(X, \Sigma) \equiv h$ we denote the map of D into X defined as follows: $h(d) = x$ iff $d = q^{-1}(x)$. Obviously, D is a partition of S . By $p(X, \Sigma) \equiv p$ we denote the natural projection of S onto D .

7. Lemma. *The following properties are true:*

- (1) $q(C_{\bar{i}} \cap S) = X_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L$.
- (2) For every $x \in X \setminus \text{Fr}(\Sigma)$, the set $q^{-1}(x)$ is a singleton.
- (3) For every $x \in \text{Fr}(\Sigma)$, the set $q^{-1}(x)$ is compact.
- (4) Let $N(x)$ be the set of all elements k of N , for which $x \in \text{Fr}(\sigma_k)$ and let $a \in q^{-1}(x)$. Then, the set $q^{-1}(x)$ consists of all points b of C for which $i(a, k+1) = i(b, k+1)$ for every $k \in N \setminus N(x)$.
- (5) The map q is continuous.
- (6) The map q is closed.
- (7) The set D is an upper semi-continuous partition of S .
- (8) The map h is a homeomorphism of D onto X and $h \circ p = q$.
- (9) The set $h^{-1}(A_0^k \setminus A_1^k)$, $k \in N$, is the set of all elements of D which are contained in the set $\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_0} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$.
- (10) The set $h^{-1}(A_1^k \setminus A_0^k)$, $k \in N$, is the set of all elements of D which are contained in the set $\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_1} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$.
- (11) The set $h^{-1}(\text{Fr}(\sigma_k))$, $k \in N$, is the set of all elements of D , which intersect both sets $\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_0} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$ and $\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_1} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$.
- (12) If $\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ is a subset of N , then the set $h^{-1}(\text{Fr}(\sigma_{k_1}) \cap \dots \cap \text{Fr}(\sigma_{k_m}))$ is the set of all elements of D , which intersect all of the sets: $\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_0} : \bar{i} \in L_{k_1}\}, \dots, \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_0} : \bar{i} \in L_{k_m}\}, \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_1} : \bar{i} \in L_{k_1}\}, \dots, \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_1} : \bar{i} \in L_{k_m}\}$.

Proof. (1). Let $a \in S$. By the definitions of S and q , $\{q(a)\} = X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots$. If $a \in C_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L_k$, then $\bar{i}(a, k) = \bar{i}$ and hence $q(a) \in X_{\bar{i}}$, that is, $q(C_{\bar{i}} \cap S) \subseteq X_{\bar{i}}$. Let $x \in X_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L_k$. For every integer m , $0 \leq m \leq k$, we denote by \bar{i}_m the unique element of L_m for which $\bar{i}_m \leq \bar{i}$. Obviously, $x \in X_{\bar{i}_m}$. Since

$X_{\bar{i}} = X_{\bar{i}0} \cup X_{\bar{i}1}$ we have $x \in X_{\bar{i}0} \cup X_{\bar{i}1}$. By \bar{i}_{k+1} we denote one of the elements $\bar{i}0$ and $\bar{i}1$ of L_{k+1} for which $x \in X_{\bar{i}_{k+1}}$. By induction, for every integer $m \geq k$, we construct an element $\bar{i}_m \in L_m$ such that $\bar{i}_m \leq \bar{i}_{m+1}$ and $x \in X_{\bar{i}_m}$. Then $C_{\bar{i}_{m+1}} \subseteq C_{\bar{i}_m}$ and $C_{\bar{i}0} \cap C_{\bar{i}1} \cap \dots \neq \emptyset$. Obviously, this intersection is a singleton $\{a\}$. Since $\bar{i}(a, m) = \bar{i}_m$ and $x \in X_{\bar{i}0} \cap X_{\bar{i}1} \cap \dots \neq \emptyset$ we have $a \in S$ and $q(a) = x$, that is, $q(C_{\bar{i}} \cap S) \supseteq X_{\bar{i}}$. Hence $q(C_{\bar{i}} \cap S) = X_{\bar{i}}$.

(2). By property (1), $q^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let $a, b \in q^{-1}(x)$, $a \neq b$. Let k be the minimal integer for which there exists $\bar{j}_1, \bar{j}_2 \in L_k$, $\bar{j}_1 \neq \bar{j}_2$, such that $a \in C_{\bar{j}_1}$ and $b \in C_{\bar{j}_2}$. Let $\bar{i} \in L_{k-1}$ such that $a, b \in C_{\bar{i}}$. Obviously, $\{\bar{j}_1, \bar{j}_2\} = \{\bar{i}0, \bar{i}1\}$. By property (1), $x \in X_{\bar{i}0} \cap X_{\bar{i}1} = (X_{\bar{i}} \cap A_0^{k-1}) \cap (X_{\bar{i}} \cap A_1^{k-1})$. Hence $x \in A_0^{k-1} \cap A_1^{k-1} = \text{Fr}(\sigma^{k-1})$, which is a contradiction. Hence $q^{-1}(x)$ is a singleton.

(3). It is sufficient to prove that $\text{Cl}(q^{-1}(x)) \subseteq q^{-1}(x)$. Let $a \in \text{Cl}(q^{-1}(x))$. Then, for every integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $q^{-1}(x) \cap C_{\bar{i}(a,k)} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $x \in X_{\bar{i}(a,k)}$. Hence $\{x\} = X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots$ and therefore $a \in S$ and $q(a) = x$, that is, $a \in q^{-1}(x)$. Thus, $\text{Cl}(q^{-1}(x)) \subseteq q^{-1}(x)$ and hence $q^{-1}(x)$ is compact.

(4). Let $b \in q^{-1}(x)$. Then $\{x\} = X_{\bar{i}(a,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(a,1)} \cap \dots = A_{\bar{i}(a,1)}^0 \cap A_{\bar{i}(a,2)}^1 \cap \dots = A_{\bar{i}(b,1)}^0 \cap A_{\bar{i}(b,2)}^1 \cap \dots$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N(x)$. Then $x \in A_{\bar{i}(a,m+1)}^m$ and $x \notin A_{\bar{i}(b,m+1)}^m$. Since $x \in A_{\bar{i}(b,m+1)}^m$, $\bar{i}(a, m+1) = \bar{i}(b, m+1)$. Conversely, let $b \in C$ and $\bar{i}(a, m+1) = \bar{i}(b, m+1)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N(x)$. Then $A_{\bar{i}(b,m+1)}^m = A_{\bar{i}(a,m+1)}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N(x)$. Since $x \in A_{\bar{i}(a,k+1)}^k \cap A_{\bar{i}(b,k+1)}^k$, $k \in N(x)$, it follows that $x \in A_{\bar{i}(b,k+1)}^k$, because either $\bar{i}(b, k+1) = \bar{i}(a, k+1)$ or $\bar{i}(b, k+1) = 1 - \bar{i}(a, k+1)$. Hence $\{x\} = A_{\bar{i}(b,1)}^0 \cap A_{\bar{i}(b,2)}^1 \cap \dots = X_{\bar{i}(b,0)} \cap X_{\bar{i}(b,1)} \cap \dots$. Thus $b \in S$ and $q(b) = x$.

(5). Let $q(a) = x$ and U be an open neighbourhood of x in X . There exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in A_0^m \setminus A_1^m \subseteq A_0^m \subseteq U$. Let $\bar{i} \in L_{m+1}$ and $x \in X_{\bar{i}}$. Since $x \in A_0^m \subseteq U$ and $x \notin A_1^m$ we have $X_{\bar{i}} \subseteq A_0^m \subseteq U$. Then the set $V = C_{\bar{i}} \cap S$ is an open neighbourhood of a in S for which $q(V) \subseteq U$ (see property (1)). Hence q is continuous.

(6). Let F be a closed subset of S . We prove that $q(F)$ is closed in X . Let $x \notin q(F)$. Then $q^{-1}(x) \cap F = \emptyset$. Since $q^{-1}(x)$ is compact, there exists an integer m such that $\text{st}(q^{-1}(x), m) \cap \text{st}(F, m) = \emptyset$. The union K of all sets $X_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L_m$, for which $C_{\bar{i}} \subseteq \text{st}(F, m)$, contains $q(F)$ and does not contain x . Hence the set $U = X \setminus K$ is an open neighbourhood of x in X for which $U \cap q(F) = \emptyset$, that is, $q(F)$ is closed. Thus q is closed.

(7). It is sufficient to prove that the natural projection p of S onto D is closed. (See [K], Ch. 3, Theorem 12), that is, for every closed subset F of S the set $p^{-1}(p(F))$ is closed. (See [K], Ch. 3, Theorem 10). It is easy to see that

$p^{-1}(p(F)) = q^{-1}(q(F))$. By properties (5) and (6) the set $q^{-1}(q(F))$ is closed. Hence p is closed and D is an upper semi-continuous partition.

(8). It follows by properties (5), (6) and (7).

(9). Let $d \in D$ and $d \subseteq \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}0} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$. We prove that $h(d) = x \in A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$. Suppose that $x \notin A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$ and let \bar{i} be an element of L_k for which $x \in X_{\bar{i}}$. Then $x \in X_{\bar{i}} \cap A_1^k = X_{\bar{i}1}$. Hence, by property (1), $q^{-1}(x) \cap C_{\bar{i}1} = d \cap C_{\bar{i}1} \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, let $h(d) = x \in A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$, $k \in N$. We prove that $h^{-1}(x) = d \subseteq \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}0} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}$. Indeed, in the opposite case, there exists an element $\bar{i} \in L_k$ such that $d \cap C_{\bar{i}1} \neq \emptyset$. Then $h(d) = x \in X_{\bar{i}1}$. This means that $x \in A_1^k$, that is, $x \notin A_0^k \setminus A_1^k$, which is a contradiction.

(10). The proof is similar to the proof of property (9).

(11). The proof follows by properties (9) and (10).

(12). The proof follows by property (11).

8. Definition. A pair (S, D) , where S is a subset of C and D is an upper semi-continuous partition of S whose elements are compact, is called a *representation*. Obviously, if X is a space and Σ is a basic system for X , then the pair $(S(X, \Sigma), D(X, \Sigma))$ is a representation. This representation is called *the representation of X corresponding to the basic system Σ* .

II. The main Lemma.

1. Definitions and Notations. Let \mathfrak{R} be a family of representations, the cardinality of which is less than or equal to the continuum. It is possible that for two distinct elements (S_1, D_1) and (S_2, D_2) of \mathfrak{R} , $S_1 = S_2$ and $D_1 = D_2$. We suppose that for every element $\zeta = (S, D) \in \mathfrak{R}$ there exists a space $X(\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$ (we recall that n is a fixed integer of $N \setminus \{0\}$) and a basic system $\Sigma(\zeta) \equiv \{\sigma_0(\zeta), \sigma_1(\zeta), \dots\}$ for $X(\zeta)$ such that (S, D) is the representation of $X(\zeta)$ corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$. Moreover, we suppose that the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$ has the following property calling *the property of boundary intersections*: for every integer k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and for every mutually distinct integers j_1, \dots, j_k of N (that is, $|\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}| = k$) we have

$$\bigcap \{\text{Fr}(\sigma_{j_i}(\zeta)) : i = 1, \dots, k\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}(M).$$

For every representation $\zeta = (S, D)$, the subset S of C is denoted also by $S(\zeta)$ and the partition D of S is denoted also by $D(\zeta)$. If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$, then the map $h(X(\zeta), \Sigma(\zeta))$ is denoted also by h_ζ .

Since the cardinality of \mathfrak{R} is less than or equal to the continuum, for every element $\bar{i} \in L$ there exists a subfamily $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{i})$ of \mathfrak{R} such that: (α) $\mathfrak{R}(\emptyset) = \mathfrak{R}$, (β) $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{i}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{j}) = \emptyset$, if $\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in L_k$, $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, $k \in N$, (γ) $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{i}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i}0) \cup \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i}1)$, $\bar{i} \in L$, and (δ) for distinct elements $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{R}$ there exist an integer $k \in N$ and elements $\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in L_k$, $\bar{i} \neq \bar{j}$, such that $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i})$ and $\zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{j})$.

For every integer $k \in N$, we set

$$U_k^C = \bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}0} : \bar{i} \in L_k\}.$$

If $\zeta = (S, D)$ is a representation, then we denote by U_k^S the set $U_k^C \cap S$ and by U_k^D the set of all elements of D , which are contained in the set U_k^S . Also, we denote by \bar{U}_k^D the set of all elements of D which intersect the set U_k^S . We set $\text{Fr}(U_k^D) = \bar{U}_k^D \setminus U_k^D$. It is easy to see that if $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)}) = h_\zeta^{-1}(\text{Fr}(\sigma_k(\zeta)))$. (See property 11 of Lemma 7.I). Also, the ordered set $B(D(\zeta)) \equiv \{U_0^{D(\zeta)}, U_1^{D(\zeta)}, \dots\}$ is an ordered basis for open sets of $D(\zeta)$.

For every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$ we denote by $D(\zeta)(0)$ the set of all elements d of $D(\zeta)$ for which there exist mutually distinct integers j_1, \dots, j_n of N such that

$$d \in \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{j_i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Since $\Sigma(\zeta)$ has the property of boundary intersections and

$$\text{Fr}(U_{j_i}^{D(\zeta)}) = h_\zeta^{-1}(\text{Fr}(\sigma_{j_i}(\zeta))),$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$, the set $D(\zeta)(0)$ is countable.

We consider an ordered set

$$\vec{D}(\zeta)(0) \equiv \{d_0^{D(\zeta)}, d_1^{D(\zeta)}, \dots\}$$

such that: (α) for every $d \in D(\zeta)(0)$ there exists uniquely determined integer $i \in N$, for which $d = d_i^{D(\zeta)}$ and (β) if for some $i \in N$ there is no element $d \in D(\zeta)(0)$ for which $d_i^{D(\zeta)} = d$, then $d_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$. We observe that, in general, $\emptyset \in \vec{D}(\zeta)(0)$, while $\emptyset \notin D(\zeta)(0)$. Also, if $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_k^{D(\zeta)} = d_i^{D(\zeta)}$, then $i = k$.

For every subset C' of C and for every subfamily \mathfrak{R}' of \mathfrak{R} we set

$$J(C' \times \mathfrak{R}') = \{(a, \zeta) \in C' \times \mathfrak{R}' : a \in S(\zeta)\}.$$

Let $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ be an ordered set of subsets of a space X and $\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ be an ordered set of subsets of a space Y . We say that *the ordered sets* $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$ and

$\{V_0, \dots, V_m\}$ have the same structure iff for every $i_1, \dots, i_k \in N$, $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq m$ we have $U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$ iff $V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_k} \neq \emptyset$.

2. Lemma. For every integer $k \in N$, for every element $\bar{\alpha}$ of Λ_{k+1} and for every $m \in N$, $0 \leq m \leq k$, there exist:

- (1) An integer $n(\mathfrak{R}) \geq 0$.
- (2) An integer $n(\bar{\alpha}) \geq k + 1$.
- (3) An integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m) \geq 0$.
- (4) A subset $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ of \mathfrak{R} . (It is possible that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$).
- (5) A subset $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$. (It is possible that $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) = \emptyset$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$).

(6) A subset $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$. (It is possible that $U(\bar{\alpha}, m) = \emptyset$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and some m , $0 \leq m \leq k$),

such that:

$$(7) \quad n(\bar{\alpha}) \geq n(\bar{\beta}) \text{ if } \bar{\alpha} \geq \bar{\beta}.$$

$$(8) \quad n(\bar{\alpha}, m) \leq n(\bar{\alpha}).$$

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{R} = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) : \bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_1 \}.$$

(10) If $\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2 \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}_2$, then $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2) = \emptyset$. If $k > 0$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, then the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ is a singleton.

(11) If $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $k > 0$, then

$$\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta}) = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) : \bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}, \bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

(12) There exists an element $\bar{i}(\bar{\alpha}) \in L_k$ such that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i}(\bar{\alpha}))$.

(13) If $k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$ and $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, then the set

$$\{ U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, \\ D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}), D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\zeta)}) \}$$

has the same structure with the set

$$\{ U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, D(\chi) \setminus U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, \\ D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}), D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\chi)}) \}.$$

(14) If $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, then $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ iff $d_k^{D(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$.

(15) If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\zeta\}) = d_k^{D(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\}.$$

(16) If $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)})$ iff $d_k^{D(\chi)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)})$ for every $i \in N$.

(17) If $k > 0$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, iff $d_m^{D(\chi)} \in U_i^{D(\chi)}$.

(18) If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^{D(\zeta)}$.

(19) If $k > 0$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_i^{D(\zeta)}$.

(20) If $k > 0$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \notin \bar{U}_i^{D(\zeta)}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap \bar{U}_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$.

(21) If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $m_1, m_2 \in N$, $0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq k$, $m_1 \neq m_2$, $d_{m_1}^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_{m_2}^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $\bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m_1)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m_2)}^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$.

(22) If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$U(\bar{\alpha}, m) = J(U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})).$$

(23) If $k > 0$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_k$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $0 \leq m \leq k - 1$, then $\bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_{n(\bar{\beta}, m)}^{D(\zeta)}$.

Proof. Let $n(\mathfrak{R})$ be an arbitrary integer of N . We prove the lemma by induction on integer k . Let $k = 0$. For every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$, we denote by $n(\zeta) \geq 1$ an integer of N such that $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \in U_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}$. Also, if the set \mathfrak{R} is not a singleton, then we denote by \mathfrak{R}_1 and \mathfrak{R}_2 two disjoint non-empty subsets of \mathfrak{R} , the union of which is the set \mathfrak{R} .

In the set \mathfrak{R} we define an equivalence relation " \sim ". We say that two elements ζ and χ of \mathfrak{R} are equivalent iff the following conditions are satisfied: (α) either $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_0^{D(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$, or $d_0^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ and $d_0^{D(\chi)} = \emptyset$, (β) $n(\zeta) = n(\chi)$, (γ) if $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then, for every $i \in N$, either $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)})$ and $d_0^{D(\chi)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)})$ or $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \notin \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)})$ and $d_0^{D(\chi)} \notin \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)})$, (δ) if $1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then the set

$$\{U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, U_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus U_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}), D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(\zeta)}^{D(\zeta)})\}$$

has the same structure with the set

$$\{U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, U_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, D(\chi) \setminus U_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)}), D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(\chi)}^{D(\chi)})\}$$

and (ε) if the set \mathfrak{R} is not a singleton, then the elements ζ and χ belong to the same set \mathfrak{R}_1 or \mathfrak{R}_2 .

Since for every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$ the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$ has the property of boundary intersections, the set of all equivalence classes of the above relation are countable. Hence there exists an one-to-one correspondence between this set of equivalence classes and a subset Λ'_1 of Λ_1 . For every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda'_1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ the equivalence class corresponding to $\bar{\alpha}$. If $\bar{\alpha} \notin \Lambda'_1$, then we set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$.

We define the set $d(\bar{\alpha}, 0)$ as follows: if for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ (and, hence, by property (α) of the definition of the relation " \sim ", for every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$) we have $d_0^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then we set

$$d(\bar{\alpha}, 0) = \bigcup \{ (d_0^{D(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\}) : \zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \}.$$

If for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ (and, hence, for every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$) we have $d_0^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ or if $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$, then we set $d(\bar{\alpha}, 0) = \emptyset$.

We set $n(\bar{\alpha}) = n(\bar{\alpha}, 0) = n(\zeta)$, where $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. By property (β) of the definition of the relation " \sim ", the integer $n(\bar{\alpha}) = n(\bar{\alpha}, 0)$ is independent from element ζ of $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$.

We define the set $U(\bar{\alpha}, 0)$ setting

$$U(\bar{\alpha}, 0) = J(U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, 0)}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})).$$

Obviously, properties (7)–(10), (12)–(16), (18) and (22) of the lemma are satisfied for $k = 0$. Properties (11), (17), (19)–(21) and (23) concern $k > 0$.

Suppose that for every integer k , $k < r$, $r > 0$, for every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and for every $m \in N$, $0 \leq m \leq k$, we have construct an integer $n(\bar{\alpha})$, an integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ a subset $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ of \mathfrak{R} , a subset $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$ and a subset $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$ such that properties (7)–(23) of the lemma are satisfied for $k < r$.

Now, for every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{r+1}$ and for every $m \in N$, $0 \leq m \leq r$, we define an integer $n(\bar{\alpha})$, an integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$, a subset $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ of \mathfrak{R} , a subset $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$ and a subset $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$ such that properties (7)–(23) are satisfied for $k \leq r$. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{r+1}$. Let $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_r$ be the uniquely determined element of Λ_r for which $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\alpha}$. If $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta}) = \emptyset$, then we set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta}) \neq \emptyset$. If the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ is not a singleton then we denote by $\mathfrak{R}_1(\bar{\beta})$ and $\mathfrak{R}_2(\bar{\beta})$ two disjoint non-empty subsets of \mathfrak{R} , the union of which is the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$. For every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ we consider the elements $d_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, d_r^{D(\zeta)}$ of $\vec{D}(\zeta)(0)$. For every m , $0 \leq m \leq r$, we denote by $n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)$ an element of N

such that: (α) $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_{n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}$, (β) if $0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq r, m_1 \neq m_2, d_{m_1}^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_{m_2}^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $\bar{U}_{n(\bar{\beta}, m_1, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\beta}, m_2, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$, (γ) if $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}, 0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U_{n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_i^{D(\zeta)}$, (δ) if $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \notin \bar{U}_i^{D(\zeta)}, 0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U_{n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap \bar{U}_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$, and (ε) if $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset, 0 \leq m < r$, then $\bar{U}_{n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_{n(\bar{\beta}, m)}^{D(\zeta)}$. The existence of the integers $n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)$ are easily proved.

In the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ we define an equivalence relation " \sim ". We say that the elements ζ and χ of $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ are equivalent iff the following conditions are satisfied: (α) for every $m, 0 \leq m \leq r$, either $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_m^{D(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$ or $d_m^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ and $d_m^{D(\chi)} = \emptyset$, (β) for every $m, 0 \leq m \leq r, n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta) = n(\bar{\beta}, m, \chi)$, (γ) for every $m, 0 \leq m \leq r$, if $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then for every $i \in N$, either $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)})$ and $d_m^{D(\chi)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)})$ or $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \notin \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)})$ and $d_m^{D(\chi)} \notin \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)})$, (δ) for every $m, 0 \leq m \leq r$, if $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, then $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}, 0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, iff $d_m^{D(\chi)} \in U_i^{D(\chi)}$, (ε) there exists an element $\bar{i} \in L_r$ such that $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i})$, (ζ) If $r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then the set

$$\{U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, U_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus U_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus U_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\zeta)}, \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)}), D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\zeta)}), \dots, D(\zeta) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(r, \zeta)}^{D(\zeta)})\}$$

has the same structure with the set

$$\{U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, U_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus U_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, D(\chi) \setminus U_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)}, D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\chi)}, \dots, D(\chi) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)}), D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\chi)}), \dots, D(\chi) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(r, \chi)}^{D(\chi)})\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n(r, \zeta) &= \max\{n(\bar{\beta}, 0, \zeta), \dots, n(\bar{\beta}, r, \zeta), r + 1, n(\bar{\beta})\} = n(r, \chi) = \\ &= \max\{n(\bar{\beta}, 0, \chi), \dots, n(\bar{\beta}, r, \chi), r + 1, n(\bar{\beta})\} \end{aligned}$$

and (θ) if the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ is not a singleton, then the elements ζ and χ belong to the same set $\mathfrak{R}_1(\bar{\beta})$ and $\mathfrak{R}_2(\bar{\beta})$.

It is easy to see that the set of all equivalence classes of the above relation is countable. Hence there exists an one-to-one correspondence between the set of all equivalence classes and a subset $(\Lambda_{r+1}^{\bar{\beta}})'$ of the set $\Lambda_{r+1}^{\bar{\beta}}$ of all elements of Λ_{r+1} , which are larger than $\bar{\beta}$. For every $\bar{\alpha} \in (\Lambda_{r+1}^{\bar{\beta}})'$, we denote by $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ the equivalence class corresponding to $\bar{\alpha}$. If $\bar{\alpha} \notin (\Lambda_{r+1}^{\bar{\beta}})'$, then we set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$.

Now, for every $m, 0 \leq m \leq r$, we define the set $d(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, the integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ and the set $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ as follows:

$$d(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \bigcup \{d_r^{D(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\} : \zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})\}.$$

if for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ (and hence for every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$) we have $d_r^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, and $d(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \emptyset$ if for some $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ (and hence for every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$) we have $d_r^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ or if $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$.

We set $n(\bar{\alpha}, m) = n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)$ if $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ is an arbitrary element of N if $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$. Obviously, the integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ is independent of the element $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$.

If $d(\bar{\alpha}, r) \neq \emptyset$, then we set

$$U(\bar{\alpha}, m) = J(U_{n(\bar{\alpha}, m)}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$$

and $U(\bar{\alpha}, m) = \emptyset$ if $d(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \emptyset$ or if $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$.

Finally, we set $n(\bar{\alpha}) = \max\{n(\bar{\alpha}, 0), \dots, n(\bar{\alpha}, r), r + 1, n(\bar{\beta})\}$.

Now, we prove the properties of the lemma for the case $k = r$. The properties (7) – (11) of the lemma are satisfied by the construction of the subsets $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ of $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$ and by the definition of the integer $n(\bar{\alpha})$. The properties (12), (13), (14), (16) and (17) follow, respectively, by the properties (ε) (ζ), (α) , (γ) and (δ) of the definition of the equivalence relation " \sim " in the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\beta})$. The properties (18), (19), (20), (21) and (23) follow, respectively, by the properties (α) , (γ) , (δ) , (β) and (ε) of the definition of the integers $n(\bar{\beta}, m, \zeta)$ and the definition of the integer $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$. The property (15) follows by the definition of the set $d(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. Finally, the property (22) follows by the definition of the set $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$. The proof of the lemma is completed.

III. The construction of the space $T(\mathfrak{R})$

1. Notations. By $T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$ we denote the set of all non-empty sets of the form $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k \in N$. If $0 \leq m \leq k$, then we set

$$d(\bar{\alpha}, m) = \bigcup \{d_m^{D(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\} : \zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})\}.$$

We observe that, in general, the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ are not elements of $T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. For every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k \in N$, we denote by $T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha})$ the set of all elements $d(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, where $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$. Obviously, the set $T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha})$ is finite. By $T(\mathfrak{R})$ we denote the union of the set $T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$ and the set of all subsets of $J(C \times \mathfrak{R})$ of the form $d \times \{\zeta\}$, where $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $d \in D(\zeta) \setminus D(\zeta)(0)$.

For every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, and for every $r \in N$, $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$, we denote by $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ the set $J(U_r^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}))$. The set of all sets of this form is denoted

by \mathcal{U} . For every $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k \in N$, for which the set $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \neq \emptyset$, and for every integer $r \in N$, for which $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, we set

$$V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \bigcup \{U(\bar{\gamma}, k) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}, \bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\gamma}\}.$$

By \mathcal{V} we denote the set of all sets of the form $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$.

For every $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ we denote by $O(W)$ the set of all elements of $T(\mathfrak{R})$, which are contained in W and by $\text{Fr}(W)$ the set of all elements d of $T(\mathfrak{R})$ such that $d \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and $d \cap (J(C \times \mathfrak{R}) \setminus W) \neq \emptyset$. We denote by $O(\mathcal{U})$ (respectively, by $O(\mathcal{V})$) the set of all subsets $O(W)$, where $W \in \mathcal{U}$ (respectively, $W \in \mathcal{V}$). Also, we set $\mathcal{B}(T(\mathfrak{R})) = O(\mathcal{U}) \cup O(\mathcal{V})$.

2. Remarks. Let $k \in N$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $m \in N$ and $0 \leq m \leq k$. It is not difficult to prove the following propositions:

(1) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$ and $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\gamma}$, then $\emptyset \neq d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$. (See properties (11) and (15) of Lemma 2.II and the definition of the set $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$).

(2) If $d_1, d_2 \in T(\mathfrak{R})$, $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $d_1 \cap d_2 = \emptyset$. (See the definition of the set $\vec{D}(\zeta)(0)$, property (15) of Lemma 2.II and the definition of the elements of the set $T(\mathfrak{R})$).

(3) The union of all elements of $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is the set $J(C \times \mathfrak{R})$.

(4) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\gamma}$, then $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}, k)$. (See the definition of the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ and properties (15), (18) and (22) of Lemma 2.II).

(5) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $r \in N$ and $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. (See the definitions of the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ and $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and properties (11), (15), (18) and (22) of Lemma 2.II).

(6) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$ and $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\gamma}$, then $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\beta}, k)$. (See properties (7), (8), (11), (15), (19) and (22) of Lemma 2.II).

(7) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $r \in N$ and $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq U(\bar{\alpha}, k)$. (See the definition of the set $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and the above proposition (6)).

(8) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $r \in N$ and $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then $V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. (See the definition of the set $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and the above proposition (6)).

(9) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, m) \subseteq H(\bar{\beta}, i)$, where $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, $k_1 < k$ and $0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U(\bar{\alpha}, m) \subseteq H(\bar{\beta}, i)$. (See the definitions of the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ and $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, properties (17) and (19) of Lemma 2.II and the above propositions (1) and (6)).

(10) If $d(\bar{\alpha}, m) \cap H(\bar{\beta}, i) = \emptyset$, where $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, $k_1 < k$ and $0 \leq i \leq n(\bar{\beta})$, then $U(\bar{\alpha}, m) \cap H(\bar{\beta}, i) = \emptyset$. (See the definitions of the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ and $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, properties (16), (17) and (20) of Lemma 2.II and the above propositions (1) and (6)).

(11) $U(\bar{\alpha}, m) = H(\bar{\alpha}, n(\bar{\alpha}, m))$. (See property (22) of Lemma 2.II and the definition of the set $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$).

(12) $U(\bar{\alpha}, m_1) \cap U(\bar{\alpha}, m_2) = \emptyset$, where $0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq k$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$. (See properties (21) and (22) of Lemma 2.II).

(13) If $k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $r \in N$, $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$, $d \in U_r^{D(\zeta)}$ and $d \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, then $d \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. (See the definition of the set $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$).

(14) The union of all elements of $\mathcal{B}(T(\mathfrak{R}))$ is the set $T(\mathfrak{R})$.

(15) The set $\mathcal{B}(T(\mathfrak{R}))$ is countable.

3. Lemma. Let $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, where $k \in N$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, and $W \equiv V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) \in \mathcal{V}$, where $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, $k_1 \in N$, $r_1 \in N$ and $k_1 + r_1 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. The following properties are true:

(1) If $d \subseteq W$, then there exists an integer $r \in N$ such that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq W$.

(2) If $d \cap W = \emptyset$, then there exists an integer $r \in N$ such that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap W = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1). Let $d \subseteq W$. Since $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$, by properties (15) and (22) of Lemma 2.II and the definition of the sets $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, we have $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$. If $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\alpha}_1$, then by property (10) of Lemma 2.II, the set $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ is a singleton. In this case the lemma is easily proved.

Hence we can suppose that $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$ and therefore $k_1 \leq k$. If $k_1 = k$, then $\bar{\alpha}_1 = \bar{\alpha}$ and setting $r = r_1$ we have $d \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = W$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}$. Then $k_1 < k$. If $n(\mathfrak{R}) \leq k_1 + r_1 + 1 < k$, then $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$, where $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \leq \bar{\alpha}$. Hence $U(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1)$. (See Remarks 2 (9), (11)). Setting $r = 0$ we have $U(\bar{\alpha}, k) = V(\bar{\alpha}, 0) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$.

Now, suppose that $k \leq k_1 + r_1 + 1$. Let $r = k_1 + r_1 + 1 - k \in N$. We prove that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$. For this it sufficient to prove that if $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$, then $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$. There exists an element $\bar{\gamma}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\gamma}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$. Since $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$ we have $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}_1, k_1)$. On the other hand, since $k + r + 1 = (k_1 + r_1 + 1) + 1$, by Remarks 2 (9), we have $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}_1, k_1) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$.

(2). Let $d \cap W = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) = \emptyset$. Setting $r = n(\mathfrak{R})$ we have $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}_1$, $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\alpha}_1$. Then $k < k_1$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. For every $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$, by Remarks 2 (12), we have $U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k) = \emptyset$. From this and by the definition of the elements of the set \mathcal{V} we have $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$, where $r = k_1 + r_1 - k$.

Now, let $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$. Then $k_1 \leq k$. Let $n(\mathfrak{R}) \leq k_1 + r_1 + 1 \leq k$. Since $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$ we have $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) = \emptyset$, where $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \leq \bar{\alpha}$. Hence $U(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) = \emptyset$. (See Remarks 2 (10), (11)). Setting $r = 0$ we have $V(\bar{\alpha}, 0) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = U(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) = \emptyset$.

Let $k < k_1 + r_1 + 1$. We set $r = k_1 + r_1 + 1 - k \in N$ and prove that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. For this it is sufficient to prove that if $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, then $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$. There exists an element $\bar{\gamma}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\gamma}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$. Since $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$ we have $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}_1, k_1) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, since $k+r+1 = (k_1+r_1+1)+1$, we have $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}_1, k_1) = \emptyset$. (See Remarks 2 (10), (11)). Hence $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$.

4. Lemma. Let $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, where $k \in N$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, and $W = H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) \in \mathcal{U}$, where $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, $k_1 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$ and $0 \leq r_1 \leq n(\bar{\alpha}_1)$. The following properties are true:

- (1) If $d \subseteq W$, then there exists an integer $r \in N$ such that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq W$.
- (2) If $d \cap W = \emptyset$, then there exists an integer $r \in N$ such that $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap W = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1). Let $d \subseteq W$. Since $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$, by property (15) of Lemma 2.II and the definition of the sets $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, we have $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$.

If $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}_1$ and $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\alpha}_1$, then, $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ is a singleton. In this case the lemma is easily proved.

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}_1$. Then $k = k_1$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$. For every $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+2}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}_1$, we have $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ (see Remarks 2 (1)), $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq U(\bar{\gamma}, k)$ (see Remarks 2 (4)) and $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$ (see Remarks 2 (9)). Setting $r = 1$ we have

$$V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \bigcup \{U(\bar{\gamma}, k) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+r+1}, \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}_1\} \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1).$$

Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}$. Then $k_1 < k$. Let r be an integer of N such that $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. Then $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq U(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$. (See Remarks 2 (5), (7), (9)).

(2). Let $d \cap W = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) = \emptyset$. Setting $r = n(\mathfrak{R})$ we have $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}_1$. Then $k \leq k_1$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. For every $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{(k_1+1)+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$, we have $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ (see Remarks 2 (1)) and hence $d(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. By Remarks 2 (10) we have $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. If $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{(k_1+1)+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \not\geq \bar{\alpha}_1$, then $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1) = \emptyset$ and hence $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. Thus, $V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}$. Then $k_1 < k$. Setting $r = 0$ we have $U(\bar{\alpha}, k) = V(\bar{\alpha}, 0)$ and $V(\bar{\alpha}, 0) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) = \emptyset$. (See Remarks 2 (10)).

5. Lemma. *The set $\mathcal{B}(T(\mathfrak{R}))$ is a basis for the open sets of a topology on $T(\mathfrak{R})$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that: (α) for every $d \in T(\mathfrak{R})$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ such that $d \in O(W)$ and (β) if $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ and $d \in O(W_1) \cap O(W_2)$, then there exists $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ such that $d \in O(W) \subseteq O(W_1) \cap O(W_2)$.

Property (α) follows by Remarks 2 (14). We prove property (β). Suppose that $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$. By Lemma 3 (1) and Lemma 4 (1) it follows that there exist integers $r_1, r_2 \in N$ such that $k + r_1 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $k + r_2 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r_1) \subseteq W_1$ and $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r_2) \subseteq W_2$. Let $r = \max\{r_1, r_2\}$. Then by Remarks 2 (8) we have

$$d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r_1) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r_2) \subseteq W_1 \cap W_2.$$

Hence $d \in O(V(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \subseteq O(W_1) \cap O(W_2)$.

Now, suppose that $d = d' \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. If $W_1 = V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k \in N$, $r \in N$ and $k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then by $\bar{\gamma}_1$ we denote the element of Λ_{k+r+1} for which $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)$. Setting $r_1 = n(\bar{\gamma}_1, k)$ we have $d' \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq J(U_{r_1}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)) \subseteq W_1$. If $W_1 = H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k \in N$, $r \in N$, $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$ and $k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, then by $\bar{\gamma}_1$ we denote the element $\bar{\alpha}$ and by r_1 we denote the integer r . Hence $d' \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq J(U_{r_1}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)) \subseteq W_1$.

Similarly, there exists an element $\bar{\gamma}_2 \in \Lambda$ and an integer $r_2 \in N$ such that

$$d' \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq J(U_{r_2}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_2)) \subseteq W_2.$$

Let $r_0 \in N$ such that $d' \in U_{r_0}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_{r_2}^{D(\zeta)}$. Let $k_0 \in N$ and $\bar{\gamma}_0 \in \Lambda_{k_0+1}$ such that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_0)$, $k_0 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $0 \leq r_0 \leq n(\bar{\gamma}_0)$, $\bar{\gamma}_0 \geq \bar{\gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\gamma}_0 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2$. Then

$$d' \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq H(\bar{\gamma}_0, r_0) \subseteq J(U_{r_1}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)) \cap J(U_{r_2}^C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_2)) \subseteq W_1 \cap W_2.$$

Thus, $d \in O(H(\bar{\gamma}_0, r_0)) \subseteq O(W_1) \cap O(W_2)$.

6. Remark. In what follows, $T(\mathfrak{R})$ denotes the topological space for which $\mathcal{B}(T(\mathfrak{R}))$ is a basis for the open sets.

7. Corollary. *If $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, then the set*

$$\mathcal{B}(d) \equiv \{O(V(\bar{\alpha}, r)) : r \in N \text{ and } k + r + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})\}$$

is a basis for open neighbourhoods of $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ in $T(\mathfrak{R})$. If $d = d' \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, then the set

$$\mathcal{B}(d) \equiv \{O(H(\bar{\alpha}, r)) : \bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}, k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R}), \zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}), d' \in U_r^{D(\zeta)}, 0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})\}$$

is a basis for open neighbourhoods of $d' \times \{\zeta\}$ in $T(\mathfrak{R})$.

Proof. The proof of this corollary follows immediately from the proof of Lemma 5.

8. Lemma. *The space $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let $d_1, d_2 \in T(\mathfrak{R})$, $d_1 \neq d_2$. We shall prove that there exists $O_1 \in \mathcal{B}(d_1)$ and $O_2 \in \mathcal{B}(d_2)$ such that $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$. We consider the following cases: (α) $d_1 = d(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)$, $d_2 = d(\bar{\alpha}_2, k_2)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_2 \in \Lambda_{k_2+1}$, (β) $d_1 = d \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $d_2 = d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, and (γ) $d_1 = d'_1 \times \{\zeta_1\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$ and $d_2 = d'_2 \times \{\zeta_2\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$.

Consider the first case. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $k_1 \geq k_2$. If $\bar{\alpha}_1 \not\geq \bar{\alpha}_2$, then for every $O_1 \in \mathcal{B}(d_1)$ and $O_2 \in \mathcal{B}(d_2)$ we have $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$. Let $\bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}_2$. Since $d_1 \neq d_2$ we have $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}_2$ and hence $k_1 > k_2$. Let $r_1, r_2 \in N$ such that $k_1 + r_1 + 1 = k_2 + r_2 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. We prove that $V(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}_2, r_2) = \emptyset$. Indeed, let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+r_1+1}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}_1$. It is sufficient to prove that $U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k_2) = \emptyset$. But this follows by Remarks 2 (12).

Now, we consider the second case. Let $\zeta \notin \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and let $r_1 \in N$ such that $d \in U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}$. There exist an integer $k_1 \in N$ and an element $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ such that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, $0 \leq r_1 \leq n(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, $k_1 > k$ and $k_1 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. If $O_1 = O(H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1))$ and $O_2 \in \mathcal{B}(d_2)$, then we have $d_1 \in O_1$, $d_2 \in O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. Then $d \cap d_k^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$. Since $D(\zeta)$ is a Hausdorff space, there exist integers $r_1, i \in N$ such that $d \in U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}$, $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}$ and $U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$. Let $k_1 \in N$, $k_1 + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $k_1 > \max\{k, i, r_1\}$ and let $\bar{\gamma}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\gamma}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Then $n(\bar{\gamma}_1) \geq k_1$. We prove that $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = \emptyset$, where $r = k_1 - k$. It is sufficient to prove that $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k) = \emptyset$.

By property (13) of Lemma 2.II we have $U_{r_1}^{D(\chi)} \cap U_i^{D(\chi)} = \emptyset$ for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. This means that $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \cap H(\bar{\gamma}, i) = \emptyset$. By property (17) of Lemma 2.II we have $d_k^{D(\chi)} \in U_i^{D(\chi)}$ for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. By property (19) of Lemma 2.II, for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$, we have $U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\chi)} \subseteq U_i^{D(\chi)}$. This means that $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq H(\bar{\gamma}, i)$. Hence $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k) = \emptyset$. Setting $O_1 = O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1))$ and $O_2 = O(V(\bar{\alpha}, r))$ we have $d_1 \in O_1$, $d_2 \in O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$.

Finally, we consider the third case. If $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$, then there exist integers $k, r_1, r_2 \in N$ and elements $\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2 \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ such that $k + 1 \geq \max\{n(\mathfrak{R}), r_1, r_2\}$, $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}_2$, $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, $\zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$, $d'_1 \in U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta_1)}$, $d'_2 \in U_{r_2}^{D(\zeta_2)}$. Then we have $r_1 \leq n(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, $r_2 \leq n(\bar{\alpha}_2)$, $d_1 \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1)$, $d_2 \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}_2, r_2)$ and $H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1) \cap H(\bar{\alpha}_2, r_2) = \emptyset$.

Setting $O_1 = O(H(\bar{\alpha}_1, r_1))$, $O_2 = O(H(\bar{\alpha}_2, r_2))$ we have $d_1 \in O_1$, $d_2 \in O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$.

Now, let $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta$. Then $d'_1 \neq d'_2$. Since the space $D(\zeta)$ is Hausdorff, there exist $r_1, r_2 \in N$ such that $d'_1 \in U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}$, $d'_2 \in U_{r_2}^{D(\zeta)}$ and $U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_{r_2}^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$. Let $k \in N$, $k+1 \geq \max\{n(\mathfrak{R}), r_1, r_2\}$ and let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Then $n(\bar{\gamma}) \geq \max\{r_1, r_2\}$. By property (13) of Lemma 2.II, we have $U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_{r_2}^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. This means that $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \cap H(\bar{\gamma}, r_2) = \emptyset$. Setting $O_1 = O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1))$ and $O_2 = O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r_2))$ we have $d_1 \in O_1$, $d_2 \in O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$.

9. Lemma. *Let $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$. For every point d of the boundary $\text{Bd}(O(W))$ of the set $O(W)$ in $T(\mathfrak{R})$, we have $d \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and $d \cap (J(C \times \mathfrak{R}) \setminus W) \neq \emptyset$, that is, $\text{Bd}(O(W)) \subseteq \text{Fr}(W)$.*

Proof. Let $d \in \text{Bd}(O(W))$. If $d \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, then by Lemmas 3 and 4 we have $d \not\subseteq W$ and $d \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and hence $d \cap (T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus W) \neq \emptyset$. Let $d \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, that is, $d = d' \times \{\zeta\}$. Since $d \not\subseteq W$ it is sufficient to prove that $d \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Let $W = H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$ and $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$. We prove that $d' \in \text{Cl}(U_r^{D(\zeta)})$. Indeed, in the opposite case, there exists an integer $i \in N$ such that $U_r^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$ and $d' \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}$. Let $k_1 \in N$ and $k_1 \geq \max\{k, i, r\}$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Then $n(\bar{\gamma}) \geq k_1$. We prove that $O(H(\bar{\gamma}, i)) \cap O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r)) = \emptyset$.

Indeed, in the opposite case, let $d_1 \in O(H(\bar{\gamma}, i)) \cap O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r))$. There exists $\zeta' \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$ such that $d_1 \cap (C \times \{\zeta'\}) = d'_1 \in D(\zeta')$. Then $d'_1 \in U_i^{D(\zeta')} \cap U_r^{D(\zeta')} \neq \emptyset$. By property (13) of Lemma 2.II, this is a contradiction, because $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$ and $U_r^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_i^{D(\zeta)} = \emptyset$. Hence, $d' \in \text{Cl}(U_r^{D(\zeta)})$.

On the other hand, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. Indeed, if $\zeta \notin \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, then there exist integers $i, k_1 \in N$ and an element $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$ such that $d' \in U_i^{D(\zeta)}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$, $k_1+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $k_1 \geq i$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}) = \emptyset$. Then $d \in O(H(\bar{\gamma}, i))$ and $H(\bar{\gamma}, i) \cap W = \emptyset$, that is, $d \notin \text{Bd}(O(W))$, which is contradiction. Hence $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$.

Now, we prove that $d \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Since $W \cap (C \times \{\zeta\}) = U_r^{S(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\}$, it is sufficient to prove that $d' \cap U_r^{S(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, in the opposite case, $d' \notin \bar{U}_r^{D(\zeta)}$ and since $\text{Cl}(U_r^{D(\zeta)}) \subseteq \bar{U}_r^{D(\zeta)}$ we have $d' \notin \text{Cl}(U_r^{D(\zeta)})$. But this is impossible. Let $W = V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+r+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Then $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) = H(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k)) = W_1 \in \mathcal{U}$. We prove that $d \in \text{Bd}(O(W_1))$. Indeed, it is sufficient to prove that if $\bar{\gamma}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, where $k_1 \geq k+r$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)$, $r_1 \in N$, $0 \leq r_1 \leq n(\bar{\gamma}_1)$ and $d \in O(H(\bar{\gamma}_1, r_1))$, then $O(H(\bar{\gamma}_1, r_1)) \cap O(W_1) \neq \emptyset$. This follows by the relations: $O(H(\bar{\gamma}_1, r_1)) \cap O(W) \neq \emptyset$, $W \cap (C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)) = W_1$ and $H(\bar{\gamma}_1, r_1) \subseteq C \times \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}_1)$. Hence $d \cap W_1 \neq \emptyset$ and therefore

$d \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

10. Theorem. *The space $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is separable metrizable.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, Lemma 8 and Remarks 2 (15) it is sufficient to prove that the space $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is regular. Let $d \in O(W)$, where $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$. We prove that there exists an element $W_1 \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ such that $d \in O(W_1) \subseteq \text{Cl}(O(W_1)) \subseteq O(W)$.

Let $d = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $W = V(\bar{\alpha}, r) \in \mathcal{V}$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+r+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. (See Corollary 7). We prove that the set $W_1 = V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1)$ is the required element of $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$. By Lemma 9 and Remarks 2 (8), it is sufficient to prove that if $d_1 \in T(\mathfrak{R})$ and $d_1 \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1) \neq \emptyset$, then $d_1 \subseteq W$.

Let d_1 has the above property. First we suppose that $d_1 = d'_1 \times \{\zeta\}$. Let $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+2}$, $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\gamma}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Obviously, $U(\bar{\beta}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1)$. Also, $U(\bar{\beta}, k) \cap (C \times \{\zeta\}) = U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{S(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\}$ and $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap (C \times \{\zeta\}) = U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{S(\zeta)} \times \{\zeta\}$. Since $d_1 \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1) \neq \emptyset$, we have $d'_1 \cap U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{S(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $d'_1 \in \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$. By property (23) of Lemma 2.II we have $d'_1 \in U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$, that is, $d'_1 \subseteq U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{S(\zeta)}$. Hence $d'_1 \times \{\zeta\} \subseteq U(\bar{\beta}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = W$, that is, $d_1 \subseteq W$.

Let $d_1 \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. Then $d_1 = d(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)$, where $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$. If $k_1 \leq k+r+1$, then for every $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{(k+r+1)+1}$ we have $U(\bar{\gamma}, k) \cap U(\bar{\gamma}, k_1) = \emptyset$. (See Remarks 2 (12)). This means that $d_1 \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Hence we can suppose that $k_1 > k+r+1$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+2}$, $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\beta}$. Since $d_1 \cap V(\bar{\alpha}, r+1) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an element $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ such that $d_{k_1}^{D(\zeta)} \cap U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{S(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $d_{k_1}^{D(\zeta)} \in \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$. By property (23) of Lemma 2.II, we have $\bar{U}_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$, that is, $d_{k_1}^{D(\zeta)} \in U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$. By property (17) of Lemma 2.II, for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, we have $d_{k_1}^{D(\chi)} \in U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{D(\chi)}$, that is, $d_{k_1}^{D(\chi)} \subseteq U_{n(\bar{\beta}, k)}^{S(\chi)}$. Thus, for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$, we have $d_{k_1}^{D(\chi)} \times \{\chi\} \subseteq U(\bar{\beta}, k) \subseteq V(\bar{\alpha}, r) = W$. Hence $d_1 \subseteq W$.

Now, let $d = d' \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $W = H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d' \in U_r^{D(\zeta)}$. There exists an integer $r_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d' \in U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}$ and $d_m^{D(\zeta)} \notin \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}$ for every m , $0 \leq m \leq k$. Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 > k$, $k_1 \geq r_1$, $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k_1+1}$, $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. We prove that $d \in O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(O(H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1))) \subseteq O(H(\bar{\alpha}, r))$. Since $H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, by Lemma 9, it is sufficient to prove that if $d_1 \in T(\mathfrak{R})$ and $d_1 \cap H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \neq \emptyset$, then $d_1 \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$.

Let d_1 has the above property. Suppose that $d_1 = d'_1 \times \{\chi\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$.

Since $d_1 \cap H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$ and $d'_1 \cap U_{r_1}^{S(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $d'_1 \in \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi)}$. Since $\bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}$, by property (13) of Lemma 2.II, we have $\bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\chi)}$. This means that $d_1 \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$.

Now, suppose that $d_1 = d(\bar{\alpha}_2, k_2) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, where $\bar{\alpha}_2 \in \Lambda_{k_2+1}$. Since $d \cap H(\bar{\gamma}, r_1) \neq \emptyset$, there exists an element $\chi' \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$ such that $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi')} \cap U_{r_1}^{S(\chi')} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi')} \in \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi')}$. If $k_2 \leq k$, then $\bar{\alpha}_2 \leq \bar{\gamma}$ and hence $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma}) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$. Since, for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$, $\bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi)} = U_{r_1}^{D(\chi)} \cup \text{Fr}(U_{r_1}^{D(\chi)})$, by properties (16) and (17) of Lemma 2.II, we have $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi)} \in \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi)}$ and hence $d_{k_2}^{D(\zeta)} \in \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $k < k_2$, $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}_2$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. Since $\bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$, by property (13) of Lemma 2.II, we have $\bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\chi)}$ for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Since $\chi' \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$ and $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi')} \in \bar{U}_{r_1}^{D(\chi')} \subseteq U_r^{D(\chi')}$, by property (17) of Lemma 2. II, for every $\chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$, we have $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi)} \in U_r^{D(\chi)}$, that is, $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi)} \subseteq U_r^{S(\chi)}$. Hence, $d_{k_2}^{D(\chi)} \times \{\chi\} \subseteq U_r^{S(\chi)} \times \{\chi\} \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. This means that $d_1 \subseteq H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$.

IV. The rationality of $T(\mathfrak{R})$.

1. Notations. Let X be a space and $\Sigma = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots\}$ be a basic system for X , where $\sigma_i = \{A_0^i, A_1^i\}$. Let \tilde{X} be a subspace of X . We set $\tilde{A}_0^i = A_0^i \cap \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{A}_1^i = A_1^i \cap \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \{\tilde{A}_0^i, \tilde{A}_1^i\}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} = \{\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots\}$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a basic system for the space \tilde{X} . Therefore we can use the notations $\text{Fr}(\tilde{\sigma}_i)$, $\text{Fr}(\tilde{\Sigma})$, $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{\sigma}_i}$, $\tilde{i} \in L$, $S(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \equiv \tilde{S}$, $D(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \equiv \tilde{D}$, $q(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \equiv \tilde{q}$, $p(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \equiv \tilde{p}$, and $h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Sigma}) \equiv \tilde{h}$, which are given in Section I.

If f is a map of a set Y into a set Z and $Q \subseteq Y$, then by $f|_Q$ we denote the restriction of f onto Q .

2. Lemma. *The following properties are true:*

- (1) $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{\sigma}_i} = X_{\sigma_i} \cap \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{i} \in L$.
- (2) $\tilde{S} = q^{-1}(\tilde{X}) \subseteq S$.
- (3) $\tilde{q} = q|_{\tilde{S}}$.
- (4) $\tilde{D} = \{q^{-1}(x) : x \in \tilde{X}\} \subseteq D$.
- (5) $\tilde{p} = p|_{\tilde{S}}$.
- (6) $\tilde{h} = h|_{\tilde{D}}$.

This lemma is not difficult to be proved.

3. Notations. Let \mathfrak{R} be a family of representations considered in Section 1.II. Let $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$ be a fixed subset of N , where $0 \leq t \leq n$, such that $|\{r^1, \dots, r^t\}| = t$. Hence, if $t = 0$, then $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\} = \emptyset$.

Let $\zeta \equiv (S, D) \in \mathfrak{R}$. According to our assumptions (see Section 1.II), there exists a space $X(\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$ and a basic system $\Sigma(\zeta) \equiv \{\sigma_0(\zeta), \sigma_1(\zeta), \dots\}$ for $X(\zeta)$ such that (S, D) is the representation of $X(\zeta)$ corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$. The pair (S, D) is denoted also by $(S(\zeta), D(\zeta))$. We set

$$\tilde{X}(\zeta) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(\sigma_{r^i}(\zeta)) : i = 1, \dots, t\} \text{ if } t > 0 \text{ and } \tilde{X}(\zeta) = X(\zeta) \text{ if } t = 0.$$

Setting $X(\zeta) = X$, $\Sigma(\zeta) = \Sigma$ and $\tilde{X}(\zeta) = \tilde{X}$, we can consider the ordered cover $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ of \tilde{X} , the basic system $\tilde{\Sigma}$ for \tilde{X} , the subset \tilde{S} of C , the partition \tilde{D} of \tilde{S} and the map \tilde{h} of \tilde{D} onto \tilde{X} . In order to show that the above notions depend on ζ , we use the notations $\tilde{\sigma}_i(\zeta)$, $\tilde{\Sigma}(\zeta)$, $\tilde{S}(\zeta)$, $\tilde{D}(\zeta)$ and \tilde{h}_ζ instead of notations $\tilde{\sigma}_i$, $\tilde{\Sigma}$, \tilde{S} , \tilde{D} and \tilde{h} , respectively.

The pair $\tilde{\zeta} \equiv (\tilde{S}(\zeta), \tilde{D}(\zeta))$ is a representation of $\tilde{X}(\zeta)$ corresponding to basic system $\tilde{\Sigma}(\zeta)$ for $\tilde{X}(\zeta)$. The family of all representations $\tilde{\zeta}$ is denoted by $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. If ζ_1 , ζ_2 are distinct elements of \mathfrak{R} , then we consider $\tilde{\zeta}_1$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_2$ to be distinct elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. The element ζ of \mathfrak{R} and the element $\tilde{\zeta}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ are considered to correspond to each other. We observe that the cardinality of $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ is less than or equal to the continuum.

For the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ we use all notations of Section 1.II, that is, if the element $\tilde{\zeta} \equiv (\tilde{S}(\zeta), \tilde{D}(\zeta)) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ corresponds to the element $\zeta \equiv (S(\zeta), D(\zeta)) \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $X(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{X}(\zeta)$, $\Sigma(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{\Sigma}(\zeta)$, $\sigma_i(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{\sigma}_i(\zeta)$, $S(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{S}(\zeta)$, $D(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{D}(\zeta)$, $h_{\tilde{\zeta}} = \tilde{h}_\zeta$, $U_k^{S(\tilde{\zeta})} = U_k^C \cap \tilde{S}(\zeta) = U_k^C \cap S(\tilde{\zeta})$, $U_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$ is the set of all elements of $D(\tilde{\zeta})$ containing in the set $U_k^{S(\tilde{\zeta})}$ and $\bar{U}_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$ is the set of all elements of $D(\tilde{\zeta})$ which intersect the set $U_k^{S(\tilde{\zeta})}$. Also $\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}) = \bar{U}_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \setminus U_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$. By Lemma 7.I and Lemma 2 it follows that the ordered set $\mathcal{B}(D(\tilde{\zeta})) = \{U_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, U_1^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots\}$ is an ordered basis for open sets of $D(\tilde{\zeta})$ and that the set $\bar{U}_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$ is the set of all elements $d \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$ such that $d \cap (\bigcup \{C_{i0}^- : i \in L_k\}) \neq \emptyset$. We observe that: (α) $U_k^{S(\tilde{\zeta})} \subseteq U_k^{S(\zeta)}$, (β) $U_k^{D(\zeta)} \cap D(\tilde{\zeta}) = U_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$ and (γ) $\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)}) \cap D(\tilde{\zeta}) = \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})})$.

We denote by $D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$ the set of all elements d of $D(\tilde{\zeta})$ for which there exist mutually distinct integers j_1, \dots, j_n of N (that is, $|\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}| = n$) such that

$$d \in \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{j_i}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}) : i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

We observe that in this case, since $\Sigma(\zeta)$ has the property of boundary intersections, we have $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\} \subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$. From the above it follows that $D(\tilde{\zeta})(0) = D(\zeta)(0) \cap D(\tilde{\zeta})$.

We denote by

$$\vec{D}(\tilde{\zeta})(0) \equiv \{d_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, d_1^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots\}$$

an ordered set such that: (α) for every $d \in D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$ there exists uniquely determined integer $i \in N$ for which $d = d_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$, (β) if for some $i \in N$ there is no element $d \in D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$ for which $d_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d$, then $d_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = \emptyset$, and (γ) if for some integer $i \in N$, $d_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$, then $d_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d_i^{D(\zeta)}$.

We observe that for every $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ by the property of boundary intersections of the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$, it follows that $X(\tilde{\zeta}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t}(M)$.

For every element $\bar{i} \in L$ we denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{i})$ the set of all elements $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ for which $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{i})$. Obviously, subfamilies $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{i})$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ have properties (α)-(δ) mentioned for subfamilies $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{i})$ of \mathfrak{R} . (See Section 1.II).

For every subset C' of C and for every subfamily $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}'$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ we set

$$J(C' \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}') = \{(a, \tilde{\zeta}) \in C' \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}' : a \in S(\tilde{\zeta})\}.$$

We define a map F of the set $J(C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ into the set $J(C \times \mathfrak{R})$ as follows: if $(a, \tilde{\zeta}) \in J(C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$, then we set $F(a, \tilde{\zeta}) = (a, \zeta)$. We observe that F is an one-to-one map of $J(C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ into $J(C \times \mathfrak{R})$. Also, if $A \subseteq S(\tilde{\zeta}) \subseteq S(\zeta)$, then $F^{-1}(A \times \{\zeta\}) = A \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$.

4. Lemma. For every integer $k \in N$, for every element $\bar{\alpha}$ of Λ_{k+1} and for every $m \in N$, $0 \leq m \leq k$, we denote by:

- (1) $n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ the integer $\max\{n(\mathfrak{R}), r^1, \dots, r^t\} + 1$ if $t > 0$ and $n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) = n(\mathfrak{R})$ if $t = 0$.
- (2) $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$ the set of all elements $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ for which $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$.
- (3) $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ the set $F^{-1}(d(\bar{\alpha}, k))$, and
- (4) $\tilde{U}(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ the set $F^{-1}(U(\bar{\alpha}, m))$.

Then, the properties (7)-(23) of Lemma 2.II are satisfied if we replace the integer $n(\mathfrak{R})$, by the integer $n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$, the symbols \mathfrak{R} , ζ and χ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$, $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$, respectively, and the sets $d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ and $U(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ by the sets $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ and $\tilde{U}(\bar{\alpha}, m)$, respectively. (The numbers $n(\bar{\alpha})$ and $n(\bar{\alpha}, m)$ are not changed).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the case $t > 0$.

(7)-(12). Obviously, these properties are true.

(13). Let $k + 1 \geq n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$. Obviously, $k + 1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. Let

$$\tilde{A} = \{U_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots, U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \bar{U}_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots, \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus U_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots, D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus \bar{U}_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \dots, D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus \bar{U}_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}, \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}), \dots, \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}), D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_0^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}), \dots, D(\tilde{\zeta}) \setminus \text{Fr}(U_{n(\bar{\alpha})}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})})\}.$$

Let \tilde{B} be the set, which is obtained by \tilde{A} replacing the element $\tilde{\zeta}$ by $\tilde{\chi}$. Also, let A and B be the sets, which are obtained by the sets \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} replacing the elements $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ by the elements ζ and χ , respectively. If \tilde{A}_i , $i \in N$, is an element of \tilde{A} , then by \tilde{B}_i , A_i and B_i we denote the corresponding element of \tilde{B} , A and B , respectively.

Since $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, by property (13) of Lemma 2.II, the set A has the same structure with the set B . We observe that

$$D(\tilde{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t \}$$

and

$$D(\tilde{\chi}) = \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\chi)}) : i = 1, \dots, t \}$$

Now, let $\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_r$ be elements of \tilde{A} such that $\tilde{A}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{A}_r \neq \emptyset$. Then $(A_1 \cap D(\tilde{\zeta})) \cap \dots \cap (A_r \cap D(\tilde{\zeta})) \neq \emptyset$. (See Section 3). Hence

$$A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r \cap \text{Fr}(U_{r_1}^{D(\zeta)}) \cap \dots \cap \text{Fr}(U_{r_t}^{D(\zeta)}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since A has the same structure with B we have

$$B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_r \cap \text{Fr}(U_{r_1}^{D(\chi)}) \cap \dots \cap \text{Fr}(U_{r_t}^{D(\chi)}) \neq \emptyset,$$

that is, $(B_1 \cap D(\tilde{\chi})) \cap \dots \cap (B_r \cap D(\tilde{\chi})) \neq \emptyset$. This means that $\tilde{B}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{B}_r \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, we prove that if $\tilde{B}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{B}_r \neq \emptyset$, then $\tilde{A}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{A}_r \neq \emptyset$. Hence the set \tilde{A} has the same structure with the set \tilde{B} .

(14). Let $\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$ (see the definition of the ordered set $\vec{D}(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$, property (γ)) By property (14) of Lemma 2.II, $d_k^{D(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$. Since $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\zeta)} \in \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t \}$, by property (16) of Lemma 2.II, we have that $d_k^{D(\chi)} \in \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\chi)}) : i = 1, \dots, t \}$, that is, $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \in D(\tilde{\chi})(0)$. By the definition of the ordered set $\vec{D}(\tilde{\chi})(0)$, $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} = d_k^{D(\chi)}$ and hence $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \neq \emptyset$.

(15). Let $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\zeta)} \neq \emptyset$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}) &= F^{-1}(d(\bar{\alpha}, k)) \cap F^{-1}((C \times \{\zeta\})) = F^{-1}(d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\zeta\})) \\ &= F^{-1}(d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \times \{\zeta\}) = d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(See property (15) of Lemma 2.II and properties of the map F in Section 3).

(16). Let $\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\tilde{\zeta})})$, $i \in N$. Then $\zeta, \chi \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d_k^{D(\zeta)} = d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\zeta)}) \cap D(\tilde{\zeta})$. By properties (14) and (16) of Lemma 2.II, we have $d_k^{D(\chi)} \neq \emptyset$ and $d_k^{D(\chi)} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\chi)}) \cap D(\tilde{\chi})$. Hence $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \in D(\tilde{\chi})(0)$ and $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} = d_k^{D(\chi)}$. Thus $d_k^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \in \text{Fr}(U_i^{D(\tilde{\chi})})$.

Similarly we can prove properties (17)-(23).

5. Notations. The sets $T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, $T(\mathfrak{R})$, $d(\bar{\alpha}, m)$, $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, $V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{V} , $O(W)$ for $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$, $O(\mathcal{U})$, $O(\mathcal{V})$ and $IB(T(\mathfrak{R}))$ (See Notations 1.III) concerning the family \mathfrak{R} , for the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ will be denoted by $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$, $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$, $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, m)$, $\tilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, $\tilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $O(\tilde{W})$ for $\tilde{W} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $O(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$, $O(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ and $IB(T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}))$, respectively.

All results of Section III, related to the above sets concerning the family \mathfrak{R} , are also true for the corresponding sets concerning the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. In the construction of the family $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ we had a fixed subset $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$ of N . Let $\{r^1, \dots, r^t, r^{t+1}, \dots, r^{t_1}\}$ be a subset of N such that $0 \leq t < t_1 \leq n$ and $|\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}| = t_1$. The corresponding family $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ constructed for the fixed subset $\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}$ of N will be denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}$. Also, in all notations concerning this family, the symbol " \sim " will be replaced by the symbol " $\hat{\sim}$ ".

By Φ we denote a map of the space $T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}})$ into the space $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ defined as follows: If $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$, then we set $\Phi(\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)) = \tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$. If $d \times \{\hat{\zeta}\} \in T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$, then we set $\Phi(d \times \{\hat{\zeta}\}) = d \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\} \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$. We observe that $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$, that is, $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if $\hat{\zeta} \in \hat{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$, then we have $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\hat{\zeta}\}) = d_k^{D(\hat{\zeta})} \times \{\hat{\zeta}\}$, where $d_k^{D(\hat{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by the definition of the ordered set $\vec{D}(\hat{\zeta})(0)$, we have $d_k^{D(\hat{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}$. Since $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\} \subseteq \{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}$, $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$ and hence $d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\hat{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}) = d_k^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$ we have $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \neq \emptyset$.

By \hat{F} we denote the map of the set $J(C \times \hat{\mathfrak{R}})$ into the set $J(C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$, which is defined as follows: if $(a, \hat{\zeta}) \in J(C \times \hat{\mathfrak{R}})$, then we set $\hat{F}(a, \hat{\zeta}) = (a, \tilde{\zeta})$. Obviously, this map is one-to-one and $\hat{F}(A \times \{\hat{\zeta}\}) = A \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$, where $A \subseteq S(\hat{\zeta}) \subseteq S(\tilde{\zeta})$.

6. Lemma. *The map Φ is a homeomorphism of the space $T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}})$ into a subset of the space $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that the map Φ is one-to-one. Let $\Phi(\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)) = \tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$. Let r be an integer of N such that $k+r+1 \geq n(\hat{\mathfrak{R}}) \geq n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$. Consider the sets $\hat{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and $\tilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. Then, $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq \hat{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) \subseteq \tilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$.

Let $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \in T(\hat{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$, $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \neq \hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$ and $\hat{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \subseteq \hat{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. Then, there exists an element $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$ and for every $\hat{\zeta} \in \hat{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$

we have $d_{k_1}^{D(\widehat{\zeta})} \subseteq U_{n(\overline{\gamma}, k)}^C$. Then $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha}_1)$ and $d_{k_1}^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} \subseteq U_{n(\overline{\gamma}, k)}^C$. This means that

$$\Phi(\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \subseteq \widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r).$$

Let $d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\} \subseteq \widehat{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Let $\overline{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ and $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\gamma})$. Then $\overline{\gamma} \geq \overline{\alpha}$ and $d \subseteq U_{n(\overline{\gamma}, k)}^C$. This means that $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\gamma})$ and hence $\Phi(d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}) = d \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\} \subseteq \widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Thus, $\Phi(O(\widehat{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r))) \subseteq O(\widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r))$. By Corollary 7.III, we have that the map Φ is continuous at the point $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)$ of $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$. Similarly we can prove that

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) \cap O(\widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r))) \subseteq O(\widehat{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)).$$

This means that the map Φ^{-1} of $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$ onto $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ is continuous at the point $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)$.

Now, let $\Phi(d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}) = d \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}$. Consider the sets $\widehat{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$ and $\widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$, where $\overline{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$, $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$, $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$, $0 \leq r \leq n(\overline{\alpha})$ and $d \subseteq U_r^C$. Then $d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\} \subseteq \widehat{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$ and $d \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\} \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Let $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$ and $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \subseteq \widehat{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Hence $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha}_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$. If $\overline{\alpha}_1 \leq \overline{\alpha}$, then $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$ is a singleton. In this case it is easy to prove that $\Phi(\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Therefore, we can suppose that $\overline{\alpha} \leq \overline{\alpha}_1$. Obviously, for every $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha}_1)$ we have $d_{k_1}^{D(\widehat{\zeta})} \subseteq U_r^C$. This means that $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha}_1)$ and $d_{k_1}^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} \subseteq U_r^C$, that is, $\Phi(\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$.

Let $d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}'\} \subseteq \widehat{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. Therefore, $\widehat{\zeta}' \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$ and $d' \subseteq U_r^C$. Then $\widetilde{\zeta}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$ and hence $d' \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}'\} \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$, that is, $\Phi(d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}'\}) = d' \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}'\} \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$. By Corollary 7.III, we have that the map Φ is continuous at the point $d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}$ of $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$.

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) \cap O(\widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r))) \subseteq O(\widehat{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)).$$

Hence the map Φ^{-1} is continuous at the point $d \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}$ of $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. Thus, Φ is a homeomorphism of the space $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ onto the subspace $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$ of the space $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$.

7. Lemma. *The set $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$ is a closed subset of $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$.*

Proof. Let $d \in T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. We prove that there exists an element $\widetilde{W} \in \widetilde{U} \cup \widetilde{V}$ such that

$$d \in O(\widetilde{W}) \subseteq T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})).$$

Let $d = d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\} \in T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$. We prove that $d' \notin D(\widehat{\zeta})$. Indeed, let $d' \in D(\widehat{\zeta})$. If $d' \notin D(\widehat{\zeta})(0)$, then $d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\} \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $\Phi(d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}) = d' \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}$, which is impossible. If $d' \in D(\widehat{\zeta})(0)$, then $d' = d_k^{D(\widehat{\zeta})}$, for some $k \in N$. Let $\overline{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$

and $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$. Then $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k) \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $\Phi(\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k) \in T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$. Since $\widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k) \cap (C \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}) = d_k^{D(\zeta)} \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}$ and $d_k^{D(\zeta)} = d_k^{D(\widehat{\zeta})}$, we have $d \cap \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k) \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $d' \notin D(\widehat{\zeta})$.

There exists an integer $r \in N$ such that $d' \in U_r^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})}$ and $U_r^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} \cap D(\widehat{\zeta}) = \emptyset$. Let $k \in N$, $k+1 \geq n(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$, $\overline{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$ and $0 \leq r \leq n(\overline{\alpha})$. We set $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$ and prove that

$$O(\widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)) \cap \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) = \emptyset$$

Indeed, in the opposite case, there exists an element $d_1 \in O(\widetilde{H}(\overline{\alpha}, r)) \cap \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. Let $d_1 = d'_1 \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\} \in T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$. Then $d'_1 \in U_r^{D(\widetilde{\chi})}$ and $\Phi(d'_1 \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\}) = d'_1 \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\}$. This means that $d'_1 \in D(\widehat{\chi})$ and hence $U_r^{D(\widetilde{\chi})} \cap D(\widehat{\chi}) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\widetilde{\zeta}, \widetilde{\chi} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$ and since

$$D(\widehat{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})}) : i = 1, \dots, t_1\}$$

and

$$D(\widehat{\chi}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\widetilde{\chi})}) : i = 1, \dots, t_1\},$$

by property (13) of Lemma 4, this is a contradiction.

Let $d_1 = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \in T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$. Let $\widetilde{\chi} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha}_1)$. Then

$$\widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1) \cap (C \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\}) = d_{k_1}^{D(\widetilde{\chi})} \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\}$$

and hence $d_{k_1}^{D(\widetilde{\chi})} \in U_r^{D(\widetilde{\chi})}$. On the other hand, $\Phi(\widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}_1, k_1)$. This means that $d_{k_1}^{D(\widetilde{\chi})} = d_{k_1}^{D(\widehat{\chi})} \in D(\widehat{\chi})$, and hence $U_r^{D(\widetilde{\chi})} \cap D(\widehat{\chi}) \neq \emptyset$. As in the above this is a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $d = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)$. Let $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\overline{\alpha})$. We prove that $d_k^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} \notin D(\widehat{\zeta})$. Indeed, in the opposite case, $d_k^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} = d_k^{D(\widehat{\zeta})}$ and $\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k) \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$ and hence $\Phi(\widehat{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)) = \widetilde{d}(\overline{\alpha}, k)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d_k^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})} \notin D(\widehat{\zeta})$.

Let $r \in N$ such that $k+r+1 > n(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$. Since

$$D(\widehat{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t_1\},$$

there exists an integer $i(\zeta) \in N$, $1 \leq i(\zeta) \leq t_1$, such that $d_k^{D(\zeta)} \notin \text{Fr}(U_{r^{i(\zeta)}}^{D(\zeta)})$. Then, by properties, (19) and (20) of Lemma 2.II, $U_{n(\overline{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap \text{Fr}(U_{r^{i(\zeta)}}^{D(\zeta)}) = \emptyset$, where $\overline{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$, $\overline{\gamma} \geq \overline{\alpha}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\overline{\gamma})$, that is, $U_{n(\overline{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)} \cap D(\widehat{\zeta}) = \emptyset$.

We set $\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)$ and prove that $O(\widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)) \cap \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) = \emptyset$. Indeed, in the opposite case, there exists $d_1 \in O(\widetilde{V}(\overline{\alpha}, r)) \cap \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. Let $d_1 = d'_1 \times \{\widetilde{\chi}\} \in$

$T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$ and let $\tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\gamma})$, where $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$. Then, $\tilde{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$ and $d'_1 \in U_{n(\tilde{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\tilde{\chi})}$, that is, $d'_1 \notin D(\tilde{\chi})$. On the other hand,

$$\Phi(d'_1 \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}) = d'_1 \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}.$$

This means that $d'_1 \in D(\tilde{\chi})$, which is a contradiction.

Let $d_1 = \tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k_1) \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$ and let $\tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$. Then $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \cap (C \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}) = d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}$ and hence $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \in U_{n(\tilde{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\tilde{\chi})}$, where $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ and $\tilde{\chi} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\tilde{\gamma})$. Therefore, $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \notin D(\tilde{\chi})$. On the other hand, $\Phi(\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k_1)) = \tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)$ and hence $\tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \cap (C \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}) = d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \times \{\tilde{\chi}\}$, that is, $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} = d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\chi})} \in D(\tilde{\chi})$, which is a contradiction.

8. Lemma. Let $\{r^1, \dots, r^{t+1}\} = \{r^1, \dots, r^t, r^{t+1}\}$, where $r^{t+1} \in N \setminus \{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $0 \leq r^{t+1} \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$. Then $\text{Fr}(\tilde{W}) \setminus T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(\bar{\alpha}) \subseteq \Phi(T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}))$, where $\tilde{W} = \tilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r^{t+1})$.

Proof. Let $d \in \text{Fr}(\tilde{W}) \setminus T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(\bar{\alpha})$. Then $d \cap \tilde{W} \neq \emptyset$ and $d \cap (J(C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus \tilde{W}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $d = d' \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\} \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0)$. Then $d' \notin D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$. We prove that $d' \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$. Since $\tilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r^{t+1}) = J(U_{r^{t+1}}^C \times \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}))$, we have $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$, $d' \cap U_{r^{t+1}}^C \neq \emptyset$ and $d' \cap (C \setminus U_{r^{t+1}}^C) \neq \emptyset$. This means that $d' \in \text{Fr}(U_{r^{t+1}}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}) \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_{r^{t+1}}^{D(\zeta)})$. Hence, if $t = 0$, then $d' \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$.

Since $d' \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$, for $t > 0$, we have that $d' \in \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t\}$. Hence,

$$d' \in \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t+1\} = D(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

Since $D(\tilde{\zeta})(0) \subseteq D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$ we have $d' \notin D(\tilde{\zeta})(0)$ and hence $d' \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\} \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})$. Obviously, $\Phi(d' \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}) = d' \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$. Thus, $d = d' \times \{\tilde{\zeta}\} \in \Phi(T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}))$.

Now, let $d = \tilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)$. Since $d \cap \tilde{W} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}_1) \neq \emptyset$. This means that either $\bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$ or $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$. If $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \bar{\alpha}$, then $d \in T(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}})(\bar{\alpha})$. Hence $\bar{\alpha}_1 \geq \bar{\alpha}$. Let $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$. By Lemma 4.IV, we have $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \cap U_{r^{t+1}}^C \neq \emptyset$ and $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \cap (C \setminus U_{r^{t+1}}^C) \neq \emptyset$. This means that $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in \text{Fr}(U_{r^{t+1}}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})}) \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_{r^{t+1}}^{D(\zeta)})$. Hence if $t = 0$, then $d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in D(\tilde{\zeta})$. For $t > 0$, since

$$d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in D(\tilde{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t\},$$

we have

$$d_{k_1}^{D(\tilde{\zeta})} \in \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r^i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t+1\} = D(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

Hence, $d_{k_1}^{D(\widehat{\zeta})} \neq \emptyset$, $\widehat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k_1) \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $\Phi(\widehat{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)) = \widetilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1)$. Thus $\widetilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}_1, k_1) \in \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$.

9. Lemma. *Let $t = 0$ and $|\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}| = t_1 = n$. Then $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) \subseteq T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})(0) = T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$.*

Proof. Let $d \in T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$. Let $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}$ and $d' \in D(\widehat{\zeta})$ such that $d' \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\} = d \cap (C \times \{\widehat{\zeta}\}) \neq \emptyset$. Then,

$$d' \in D(\widehat{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq D(\zeta)(0).$$

Since $D(\widehat{\zeta})(0) = D(\zeta)(0) \cap D(\widehat{\zeta})$ we have $d' \in D(\widehat{\zeta})(0)$. Hence there exists an integer k such that $d' = d_k^{D(\widehat{\zeta})}$. If $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $\widehat{\zeta} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$, then $d = \widehat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)$. Hence, $\Phi(d) = \Phi(\widehat{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k)) = \widetilde{d}(\bar{\alpha}, k) = d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. Thus, $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) \subseteq T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$.

10. Corollary. *If $|\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}| = t_1 = n$, then the space $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ is countable.*

11. Theorem. *The space $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ belongs to the family $\mathbb{R}^{n-t}(\mathbb{M})$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on integer $n-t$. Let $n-t = 0$. Then $t = n$ and by Corollary 10, the space $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ belongs to the family $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^0(\mathbb{M})$.

Suppose that for every subset $\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}$ of N for which $|\{r^1, \dots, r^{t_1}\}| = t_1$ and $0 \leq n-t_1 < n-t$, we have proved that the space $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{n-t_1}(\mathbb{M})$.

Now, we prove that for every subset $\{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$ of N for which $|\{r^1, \dots, r^t\}| = t$, the space $T(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{n-t}(\mathbb{M})$. By Corollary 7.III it is sufficient to prove that

$$\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathbb{M}),$$

where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$, and

$$\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathbb{M}),$$

where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $k+r+1 \geq n(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$.

Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $k+1 \geq n(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}})$ and $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$. Suppose that $r \in \{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$. We prove that in this case $O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) = \emptyset$. Indeed, let $d \in O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))$, that is, $d \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$. Let $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $d' \in D(\widetilde{\zeta})$ such that $d \cap (C \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}) = d' \times \{\widetilde{\zeta}\}$. Since $d \subseteq \widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ we have $d' \in U_r^{D(\widetilde{\zeta})}$ and hence $d' \in U_r^{D(\zeta)}$.

On the other hand we have $d' \in D(\widetilde{\zeta}) = \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_{r_i}^{D(\zeta)}) : i = 1, \dots, t\}$ and, since $r \in \{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$, we have $d' \in \text{Fr}(U_r^{D(\zeta)})$. Since $U_r^{D(\zeta)} \cap \text{Fr}(U_r^{D(\zeta)}) = \emptyset$, this is a contradiction. Hence, $O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) = \emptyset$ and $\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) = \emptyset \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathbb{M})$.

Thus, we can suppose that $r \notin \{r^1, \dots, r^t\}$. For the subset $\{r^1, \dots, r^t, r^{t+1}\}$ of N , where $r^{t+1} = r$ we construct the space $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$. Since $0 \leq n - (t + 1) < n - t$, by induction, the space $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$ and hence $\Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$. (See Lemma 6).

By Lemma 9.III we have $\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \subseteq \text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))$.

By Lemma 8, $\text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \setminus T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})(\bar{\alpha}) \subseteq \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. Let $H_1 = \text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \cap \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$ and $H_2 = \text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \setminus \Phi(T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}))$. The set H_1 is a closed subset of $\text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))$ and belongs to the family $\mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$. The set H_2 , as a finite subset of $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$, is also closed in $\text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))$ and belongs to the family $\mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$. Since $\text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) = H_1 \cup H_2$, we have $\text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$ and hence $\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$.

Now, let $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $k + r + 1 \geq n(\widehat{\mathfrak{R}})$. We prove that $\text{Bd}(O(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$. By Lemma 9.III, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\text{Fr}(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(M)$$

and for this, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\text{Fr}(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{Fr}(H(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k))) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}, \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r) &= \bigcup \{ \widetilde{U}(\bar{\gamma}, k) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}, \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha} \} \\ &= \bigcup \{ \widetilde{H}(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k)) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}, \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $d \in \text{Fr}(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r))$. Then there exists an element $\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $a \in C$ such that $(a, \tilde{\zeta}) \in d \cap \widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ and $d \cap (J(C \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus \widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}(\bar{\gamma})$, where $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha}$. Then $(a, \tilde{\zeta}) \in d \cap \widetilde{H}(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k))$ and $d \cap (J(C \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}) \setminus H(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k))) \neq \emptyset$, that is, $d \in \text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k)))$. Hence

$$\text{Fr}(\widetilde{V}(\bar{\alpha}, r)) \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{Fr}(\widetilde{H}(\bar{\gamma}, n(\bar{\gamma}, k))) : \bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}, \bar{\gamma} \geq \bar{\alpha} \}.$$

12. Corollary. *The space $T(\mathfrak{R})$ belongs to the family $\mathbb{R}^n(M)$.*

V. Universal spaces

1. Notations. Let $\zeta_1 \equiv (S_1, D_1)$ and $\zeta_2 \equiv (S_2, D_2)$ are two representations and let $m \in N$. We say that ζ_1 and ζ_2 are m -equivalent and write $\zeta_1 \overset{m}{\sim} \zeta_2$ iff for every element $d \in D_1$ there exists an element $d' \in D_2$ such that $\text{st}(d, m) = \text{st}(d', m)$

and, conversely, for every $d \in D_2$ there exists $d' \in D_1$ such that $\text{st}(d, m) = \text{st}(d', m)$. It is easy to see that the relation " \sim^m " is an equivalence relation in the family of all representations. Obviously, the number of equivalence classes are finite.

2. Lemma. Let \mathcal{IE} be a family of representations such that:

(1) For every $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathcal{IE}$ and for every $m \in N$, $\zeta_1 \sim^m \zeta_2$.

(2) For every $\zeta \equiv (S, D) \in \mathcal{IE}$ the set $\Sigma(\zeta) \equiv \{\sigma_0(\zeta), \sigma_1(\zeta), \dots\}$, where $\sigma_k(\zeta) = \{\bar{U}_k^D, D \setminus U_k^D\}$, $k \in N$, is a basic system for the space D and ζ is the representation of D corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$. Then we have:

(3) The pair $\zeta(\mathcal{IE}) \equiv (S(\mathcal{IE}), D(\mathcal{IE}))$, where $S(\mathcal{IE}) = \bigcup\{S(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{IE}\}$ and $D(\mathcal{IE}) = \bigcup\{D(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathcal{IE}\}$ is a representation.

(4) The set $\Sigma(\mathcal{IE}) = \{\sigma_0(\mathcal{IE}), \sigma_1(\mathcal{IE}), \dots\}$, where $\sigma_k(\mathcal{IE}) = \{\bar{U}_k^{D(\mathcal{IE})}, D(\mathcal{IE}) \setminus U_k^{D(\mathcal{IE})}\}$, $k \in N$, is a basic system for the space $D(\mathcal{IE})$.

(5) The pair $\zeta(\mathcal{IE})$ is the representation of $D(\mathcal{IE})$ corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\mathcal{IE})$.

Proof. (3). First, we observe that the set $S(\mathcal{IE})$ is a subset of C and $D(\mathcal{IE})$ is a set of subsets of $S(\mathcal{IE})$, the union of all elements of which is the set $S(\mathcal{IE})$.

Now, we prove that $D(\mathcal{IE})$ is a partition of $S(\mathcal{IE})$, that is, if d_1, d_2 are distinct elements of $D(\mathcal{IE})$, then $d_1 \cap d_2 = \emptyset$. Indeed, let d_1, d_2 be distinct elements of $D(\mathcal{IE})$, that is $d_1 \neq d_2$. There exist elements (S_1, D_1) and (S_2, D_2) of \mathcal{IE} such that $d_1 \in D_1$ and $d_2 \in D_2$. Suppose that $d_2 \cap d_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $d_2 \not\subseteq d_1$, then there exists an integer $m_0 \in N$ such that $d_2 \cap \text{st}(d_1, m) \neq \emptyset$ and $d_2 \not\subseteq \text{st}(d_1, m_0)$ for every $m \geq m_0$. Since $(S_1, D_1) \sim^m (S_2, D_2)$, for every $m \geq m_0$, there exists an element $d_1^m \in D_1$ such that $\text{st}(d_2, m) = \text{st}(d_1^m, m)$. This means that $d_1^m \cap \text{st}(d_1, m) \neq \emptyset$ and $d_1^m \not\subseteq \text{st}(d_1, m_0)$, that is, D_1 is not upper semi-continuous, which is a contradiction. Similarly, if $d_1 \not\subseteq d_2$, then D_2 is not upper semi-continuous. Hence $d_2 \cap d_1 = \emptyset$.

We prove that $D(\mathcal{IE})$ is an upper semi-continuous partition of $S(\mathcal{IE})$, that is, for every $d \in D(\mathcal{IE})$ and for every $m \in N$, there exists an integer $k \in N$ such that if $d' \cap \text{st}(d, k) \neq \emptyset$, where $d' \in D(\mathcal{IE})$, then $d' \subseteq \text{st}(d, m)$. Suppose that $D(\mathcal{IE})$ is not upper semi-continuous. Then, there exists an element $d \in D(\mathcal{IE})$, an integer $m \in N$ and for every $k \in N$, there exists an element $d^k \in D(\mathcal{IE})$ such that $d^k \cap \text{st}(d, k) \neq \emptyset$ and $d^k \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m)$.

Let (S', D') and (S_k, D_k) , $k \in N$, be elements of \mathcal{IE} such that $d \in D'$ and $d^k \in D_k$. Since $(S', D') \sim^k (S_k, D_k)$, there exists an element d'_k of D' such that $\text{st}(d^k, k) = \text{st}(d'_k, k)$. Then $\text{st}(d'_k, k) \cap \text{st}(d, k) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $d'_k \cap \text{st}(d, k) \neq \emptyset$. Also, for every $k \geq m$, we have $\text{st}(d^k, k) \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m)$, that is, $\text{st}(d'_k, k) \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m)$ and

hence $d'_k \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m)$. This means that D' is not upper semi-continuous, which is a contradiction. Hence $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ is an upper semi-continuous partition.

(4). Let $d \in D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ and $m_0 \in N$. It is sufficient to prove that there exists an integer $k \in N$ such that $d \in U_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ and every element of $\overline{U}_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ is contained in $\text{st}(d, m_0)$. There exists an element $(S, D) \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}$ such that $d \in D$. Since the set $\Sigma(\zeta)$ is a basic system for D , there exists an integer $k \in N$ such that $d \in U_k^D$ and every element of \overline{U}_k^D is contained in $\text{st}(d, m_0)$. We prove that $d \in U_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ and every element of $\overline{U}_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ is contained in $\text{st}(d, m_0)$. By the definition of the sets U_k^C, U_k^D and $U_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ it follows that $U_k^D \subseteq U_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ and hence $d \in U_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$.

Let $d' \in \overline{U}_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$. Suppose that $d' \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$. Let $(S', D') \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}$ and $d' \in D'$. Since $(S', D') \sim^m (S, D)$, for every $m \in N$, there exists an element $d^0 \in D$ such that $\text{st}(d', m_1) = \text{st}(d^0, m_1)$, where $m_1 = \max\{m_0, k\}$. Since $d' \in \overline{U}_k^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$, we have $d' \cap U_k^C \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\text{st}(d', m_1) \cap U_k^C \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{st}(d^0, m_1) \cap U_k^C \neq \emptyset$ and hence $d^0 \cap U_k^C \neq \emptyset$, which means that $d^0 \in \overline{U}_k^D$. Since $d' \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$, we have $\text{st}(d', m_1) \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$. Hence $\text{st}(d^0, m_1) \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$ and therefore $d^0 \not\subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $d' \subseteq \text{st}(d, m_0)$ and therefore the set $\Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ is a basic system for the space $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$.

(5). Let $S(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), \Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$ and $D(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), \Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$ be the subset of C and the partition of $S(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), \Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$, respectively, constructed in Section I for the basic system $\Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ of $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$. We prove that $S(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}) = S(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), \Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$ and $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}) = D(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), \Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$.

First, we prove by induction on integer k that the set $(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}}$, $\bar{i} \in L_k$, is the set of all elements of $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ which intersect the set $C_{\bar{i}}$. Indeed, this is true if $\bar{i} = \emptyset \in L_0$. Suppose that this statement is true if $k \leq k_0$. Let $\bar{j}_0 \in L_{k_0+1}$. Then there exists an element $\bar{i}_0 \in L_{k_0}$ such that either $\bar{j}_0 = \bar{i}_0 0$ or $\bar{j}_0 = \bar{i}_0 1$. Hence either $(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0} = (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}_0} \cap \overline{U}_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ or $(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0} = (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}_0} \cap (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}) \setminus U_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})})$.

Let $(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0} = (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}_0} \cap \overline{U}_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$ and let $d \in (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0}$. Then $d \in (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}_0}$ and by induction, $d \cap C_{\bar{i}_0} \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, $d \in \overline{U}_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}$, which means that

$$d \cap \left(\bigcup \{C_{\bar{i}_0} : \bar{i}_0 \in L_{k_0}\} \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $a \in d \cap C_{\bar{i}_0}$. If $a \in C_{\bar{i}_0 0} = C_{\bar{j}_0}$, then $d \cap C_{\bar{j}_0} \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in C_{\bar{i}_0 1}$. Then, $d \in \text{Fr}(U_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})}) = \text{Fr}(\sigma_{k_0}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))$. Let b be a point of C , $b \neq a$, for which the k^{th} digit in the ternary expansion coincides with the corresponding digit of a for all $k \in N$ except $k = k_0 + 1$. Then $b \in C_{\bar{i}_0 0}$ and by property (4) of Lemma 7.1, $b \in d$. This means that $d \cap C_{\bar{j}_0} \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, we prove that if $(D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0} = (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{i}_0} \cap (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}) \setminus U_{k_0}^{D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})})$, then $d \in (D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}))_{\bar{j}_0}$ iff $d \cap C_{\bar{j}_0} \neq \emptyset$.

For the proof of the equalities

$$S(\mathcal{IE}) = S(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE}))$$

and

$$D(\mathcal{IE}) = D(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE}))$$

it is sufficient to prove that for every $d \in D(\mathcal{IE})$ we have $(q(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE}))^{-1}(d) = d \subseteq S(\mathcal{IE})$. Let $a \in S(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE}))$ and let $q(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE}))(a) = d$. Then,

$$\{d\} = \bigcap \{(D(\mathcal{IE}))_{\bar{i}(a,k)} : k \in N\}.$$

By the above, $d \cap C_{\bar{i}(a,k)} \neq \emptyset$, for every $k \in N$, which means that $a \in d$. Conversely, let $a \in d$. Then, $d \cap C_{\bar{i}(a,k)} \neq \emptyset$, for every $k \in N$, that is,

$$\{d\} = \bigcap \{(D(\mathcal{IE}))_{\bar{i}(a,k)} : k \in N\},$$

which means that $a \in (q(D(\mathcal{IE}), \Sigma(\mathcal{IE})))^{-1}(d)$. Thus, the pair $\zeta(\mathcal{IE})$ is the representation of $D(\mathcal{IE})$ corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\mathcal{IE})$.

3. Lemma. *Let \mathcal{IE} be the family of representations of Lemma 2. Suppose that:*

(1) *For every subset $s \subseteq N$ with $|s| = t \leq n$ and for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{IE}$ we have*

$$\bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t}(\mathcal{IM}) : k \in s\}.$$

(We recall again that n is fixed).

(2) *There exists a countable subset S^0 of S such that for $\zeta \in \mathcal{IE}$ and for every subset $s \subseteq N$ with $|s| = n$ we have*

$$\bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)}) : k \in s\} \subseteq S^0.$$

Then, for every $s \subseteq N$ with $|s| = t \leq n$ we have

$$\bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{IE})}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t}(\mathcal{IM}) : k \in s\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 the pair $(S(\mathcal{IE}), D(\mathcal{IE}))$ is a representation. First we observe that for every $s \subseteq N$ with $|s| = t \leq n$ we have

$$(3) \quad \bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{IE})}) : k \in s\} = \bigcup \{\bigcap \{\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)}) : k \in s\} : \zeta \in \mathcal{IE}\}.$$

This follows immediately by the definition of the sets $\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\zeta)})$ and $\text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)})$.

We prove the lemma by induction on integer $n - t$. Let $n - t = 0$, that is, $t = n$. Let $s \subseteq N$ and $|s| = n$. By property (2) and relation (3) it follows that

$$\bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) : k \in s \} \subseteq S^0$$

and hence

$$\bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) : k \in s \} \in \mathbb{R}^0(\mathcal{I}M).$$

Suppose that the lemma has been proved for all integers $n - t'$, $0 \leq n - t' < n - t$. We prove the lemma for the integer $n - t$. Let $s \subseteq N$ and $|s| = t$. Consider the set

$$D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \equiv \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) : k \in s \}.$$

Since $D^s(\mathcal{I}E)$ is a subspace of $D(\mathcal{I}E)$ and the set $\{U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)} : k \in N\}$ is a basis for open sets of $D(\mathcal{I}E)$ (see the definition of the basic system and Lemma 2), the set $\{D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)} : k \in N\}$ is a basis for open sets of $D^s(\mathcal{I}E)$. For the proof of the lemma it is sufficient to prove that for every $r \in N$,

$$\text{Bd}_{D^s(\mathcal{I}E)}(D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathcal{I}M).$$

Let $r \in N$. First we suppose that $r \in s$. Then $D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)})$ and hence

$$D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)} \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \cap U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)} = \emptyset$$

Thus

$$\text{Bd}_{D^s(\mathcal{I}E)}(D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathcal{I}M).$$

Now, let $r \notin s$. Let $s_1 = s \cup \{r\}$. Then $|s_1| = t + 1$ and by induction,

$$\bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) : k \in s_1 \} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathcal{I}M).$$

Since

$$\text{Bd}_{D^s(\mathcal{I}E)}(D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \subseteq \text{Bd}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)})$$

for every $k \in N$, we have

$$\text{Bd}_{D^s(\mathcal{I}E)}(D^s(\mathcal{I}E) \cap U_r^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) \subseteq \bigcap \{ \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathcal{I}E)}) : k \in s_1 \} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-t-1}(\mathcal{I}M).$$

4. Corollary. *If $\mathcal{I}E$ is the family of Lemma 3, then $D(\mathcal{I}E)$ is an element of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathcal{I}M)$ containing topologically every space D for every $\zeta \equiv (S, D) \in \mathcal{I}E$.*

Proof. Since the set $\{U_k^{D(\mathbb{E})} : k \in N\}$ is a basis for open sets of $D(\mathbb{E})$, by the relation

$$\text{Bd}(U_k^{D(\mathbb{E})}) \subseteq \text{Fr}(U_k^{D(\mathbb{E})}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}(\mathbb{M})$$

for every $k \in N$, we have that $D(\mathbb{E}) \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$.

Let $\zeta \equiv (S, D) \in \mathbb{E}$. It is easy to see that the map $e_\zeta^{\mathbb{E}}$ of D into $D(\mathbb{E})$ for which $e_\zeta^{\mathbb{E}}(d) = d \in D(\mathbb{E})$, for every $d \in D$, is a homeomorphism of D into $D(\mathbb{E})$.

The map $e_\zeta^{\mathbb{E}} : D \rightarrow D(\mathbb{E})$ is called *the natural embedding of D into $D(\mathbb{E})$* .

5. Theorem. *In the family of all spaces having rational dimension $\leq n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a universal element.*

Proof. For every element X of the family $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ of all spaces having rational dimension $\leq n$, we denote by $\Sigma(X)$ a basic system for X with the property of boundary intersections. The existence of such a basic system follows by Theorem 5.I. Indeed, if $\mathbb{B}(X) = \{U_0^X, U_1^X, \dots\}$ is a basis for open sets of X having the property of boundary intersections, then it is easy to see that the set $\Sigma(X) \equiv \{\sigma^0, \sigma^1, \dots\}$, where $\sigma^i = \{\text{Cl}(U_i^X), X \setminus U_i^X\}$, is a basic system for X having the property of boundary intersections. Let $(S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X)))$ be the representation of X corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(X)$ constructed in Section 1.I. The family of all such representations is denoted by $\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$.

In the family $\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$ we define an equivalence relation " \sim ". We say that two elements ζ_1 and ζ_2 of $\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$ are equivalent and we write $\zeta_1 \sim \zeta_2$ iff for every $m \in N$, $\zeta_1 \overset{m}{\sim} \zeta_2$ and $D(\zeta_1)(0) = D(\zeta_2)(0)$. It is easy to see that the cardinality of the set $E.C.\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$ of all equivalence classes of the relation " \sim " is less than or equal to the continuum.

By \mathfrak{R} we denote the family of all representations of the form $(S(\mathbb{E}), D(\mathbb{E}))$, where $\mathbb{E} \in E.C.\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$. (See Lemma 2). If $\zeta \equiv (S(\mathbb{E}), D(\mathbb{E})) \in \mathfrak{R}$, then by $X(\zeta)$ we denote the space $D(\mathbb{E}) \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ (see Corollary 4) and by $\Sigma(\zeta)$ we denote the basic system $\Sigma(\mathbb{E}) \equiv \{\sigma^0(\zeta), \sigma^1(\zeta), \dots\}$ of $D(\mathbb{E})$, where $\sigma^k(\zeta) \equiv \sigma_k(\mathbb{E}) = \{\overline{U}_k^{D(\mathbb{E})}, D(\mathbb{E}) \setminus U_k^{D(\mathbb{E})}\}$. (See Lemma 2). By Lemma 2 the pair ζ is the representation of $X(\zeta)$ corresponding to the basic system $\Sigma(\zeta)$.

Let $T(\mathfrak{R})$ be the space constructed in Section III. Since $\Sigma(\zeta)$ has the property of boundary intersections (see Lemma 3), by Corollary 12.IV we have $T(\mathfrak{R}) \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$. We prove that the space $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is the required universal element of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$.

Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}$. We construct a map e_ζ of $D(\zeta)$ into $T(\mathfrak{R})$ as follows: if $d \in D(\zeta) \setminus D(\zeta)(0)$, then by the definition of the set $T(\mathfrak{R})$ we have $d \times \{\zeta\} \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$.

In this case $e_\zeta(d) = d \times \{\zeta\}$. Let $d \in D(\zeta)(0)$. Then there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d = d_k^{D(\zeta)}$. If $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, then $d(\bar{\alpha}, k) \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0) \subseteq T(\mathfrak{R})$. In this case we set $e_\zeta(d) = d(\bar{\alpha}, k)$.

We prove that e_ζ is an embedding of $D(\zeta)$ into $T(\mathfrak{R})$. Obviously, e_ζ is one-to-one. We prove the continuity of e_ζ . Let $e_\zeta(d) = d'$ and $O(W)$, $W \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$, be an open neighbourhood of d' in $T(\mathfrak{R})$. If $d \in D(\zeta) \setminus D(\zeta)(0)$, that is, $d' \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, then we can suppose that $W = H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $k+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$ and $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\alpha})$. (See Corollary 7. III). Obviously, $d \in U_r^{D(\zeta)}$ and $d' \notin T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha})$. Hence, the set

$$U \equiv U_r^{D(\zeta)} \setminus e_\zeta^{-1}(T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha}))$$

is an open neighbourhood of d in $D(\zeta)$. It is easy to verify that $e_\zeta(U) \subseteq O(W)$.

If $d \in D(\zeta)(0)$, that is, $d' \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$, then we can suppose that $W = V(\bar{\alpha}, r)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$, $k+r+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$. Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. Then $d \in U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}$ and it is easy to verify that $e_\zeta(U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)}) \subseteq O(W)$. Hence, e_ζ is continuous.

We prove the continuity of e_ζ^{-1} . Let $U_r^{D(\zeta)}$ be an open neighbourhood of d . Let $d' \in T(\mathfrak{R}) \setminus T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k+1 \geq \max\{r, n(\mathfrak{R})\}$ and let $\bar{\alpha} \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ such that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha})$. Then, $H(\bar{\alpha}, r)$ is an open neighbourhood of d' in $T(\mathfrak{R})$ such that $e_\zeta^{-1}(O(H(\bar{\alpha}, r))) \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}$.

Let $d' \in T(\mathfrak{R})(0)$. There exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d = d_k^{D(\zeta)}$. Let $r_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k+r_1 > r$, $k+r_1+1 \geq n(\mathfrak{R})$, $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{k+r_1+1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\gamma})$. If $\bar{\beta} \in \Lambda_{k+r_1}$ and $\bar{\beta} \leq \bar{\gamma}$, then $0 \leq r \leq n(\bar{\beta})$. By property (19) of Lemma 2.II we have $U_{n(\bar{\gamma}, k)}^{D(\zeta)} \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}$. It is easy to verify that

$$e_\zeta^{-1}(O(V(\bar{\alpha}, r_1))) \subseteq U_r^{D(\zeta)}.$$

This means that e_ζ^{-1} is continuous and hence e_ζ is an embedding of $D(\zeta)$ into $T(\mathfrak{R})$.

Now, let $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(M)$. Then the map $(h(X, \Sigma(X)))^{-1}$ is an embedding of X into $D(X, \Sigma(X))$. (See Section I). Let $\mathcal{IE} \in E.C.Re^n(M)$ such that $\zeta(X) \equiv (S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X))) \in \mathcal{IE}$ and let $e_{\zeta(X)}^{\mathcal{IE}}$ the natural embedding of $D(X, \Sigma(X))$ into $D(\mathcal{IE})$. (See Section 4). Let $\zeta \equiv (S(\mathcal{IE}), D(\mathcal{IE}))$ and let e_ζ be the embedding of $D(\mathcal{IE})$ into the space $T(\mathfrak{R})$. The map $e_X \equiv e_\zeta \circ e_{\zeta(X)}^{\mathcal{IE}} \circ (h(X, \Sigma(X)))^{-1}$ is an embedding of X into $T(\mathfrak{R})$. Thus, $T(\mathfrak{R})$ is a universal element of the family $\mathbb{R}^n(M)$.

6. Definition. We say that a universal element T for a family Sp of spaces has the property of boundary intersections with respect to subfamily $(\text{Sp})_1$ of Sp iff

for every $X \in \text{Sp}$ there exists an embedding i_X of X into T such that if Y and Z are distinct elements of Sp and $Y \in (\text{Sp})_1$, then the set $i_Y(Y) \cap i_Z(Z)$ is finite. (See, for example, [I₃]).

7. Theorem. *In the family $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ there exists a universal element having the property of finite intersections with respect to a given subfamily of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ the cardinality of which is less than or equal to the continuum.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{R} be a fixed subfamily of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$. For every $X \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ let $\Sigma(X)$ and $(S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X)))$ be the basic system for X and the representation of X , respectively, constructed in the proof of Theorem 5. As in Theorem 5, by $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ we denote the family of all representations $(S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X)))$.

By \mathfrak{R}_1 we denote the family of all representations of the form

$$(S(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})),$$

where $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E} \in E.C.\mathbb{R}e^n(\mathbb{M})$. (In the proof of Theorem 5, this family is denoted by \mathfrak{R}). By \mathfrak{R}_2 we denote the family of all representations of the form

$$(S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X))),$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}$.

We set $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_1 \cup \mathfrak{R}_2$. If $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_1$ and $\zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_2$, then ζ_1 and ζ_2 we consider as distinct elements of \mathfrak{R} . Obviously, the cardinality of \mathfrak{R} is less than or equal to the continuum.

For every $\zeta \equiv (S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X))) \in \mathfrak{R}_2$ we denote by $X(\zeta)$ the space X and by $\Sigma(\zeta)$ the basic system $\Sigma(X)$ for X .

If $\zeta \equiv (S(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}), D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})) \in \mathfrak{R}_1$, then, as in the proof of Theorem 5, by $X(\zeta)$ we denote the space $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E}) \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$ and by $\Sigma(\zeta)$ we denote the basic system $\Sigma(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$ for $D(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{E})$.

Let $T(\mathfrak{R})$ be the space constructed in Section III. If $X \in \mathbb{R}$, then the pair $\zeta \equiv (S(X, \Sigma(X)), D(X, \Sigma(X))) \in \mathfrak{R}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$. Hence the map $e_X \equiv e_\zeta \circ (h(X, \Sigma(X)))^{-1}$ is an embedding of X into $T(\mathfrak{R})$, where e_ζ is the embedding of $D(\zeta)$ into $T(\mathfrak{R})$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.

If $X \notin \mathbb{R}$, then by e_X we denote the embedding of X into $T(\mathfrak{R})$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 5.

For the proof of the Theorem it is sufficient to prove that $T(\mathfrak{R})$ has the property of finite intersections with respect to subfamily $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{M})$.

Let Y and Z are distinct elements of $\mathbb{R}^n(M)$ such that $Y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\zeta_1 = (S(Y, \Sigma(Y)), D(Y, \Sigma(Y)))$ and $\zeta_2 = (S(Z, \Sigma(Z)), D(Z, \Sigma(Z)))$ if $Z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta_2 = (S(\mathbb{E}), D(\mathbb{E}))$ if $Z \notin \mathbb{R}$, where $(S(Z, \Sigma(Z)), D(Z, \Sigma(Z))) \in \mathbb{E} \in E.C.\mathbb{R}e^n(M)$. Then ζ_1 and ζ_2 are distinct elements of \mathfrak{R} . There exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and elements $\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2 \in \Lambda_{k+1}$, $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}_2$, such that $\zeta_1 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ and $\zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(\bar{\alpha}_2)$. It is easy to verify that

$$\epsilon_Y(Y) \cap \epsilon_Z(Z) \subseteq T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha}_1) \cup T(\mathfrak{R})(\bar{\alpha}_2).$$

Hence $T(\mathfrak{R})$ has the property of finite intersections with respect to \mathbb{R} .

References

- [H]. W. Hurewicz, *Normalbereiche und Dimensionstheorie*, Math. Ann. 96, 736-764 (1927).
- [I₁]. S. D. Iliadis, *Rim-finite spaces and the property of universality*, Houston J. of Math., 12 (1986), pp. 55-78.
- [I₂]. S. D. Iliadis, *The rim-type of spaces and the property of universality*, Houston J. Math. 13 (1987), pp. 373-388.
- [I₃]. S. D. Iliadis, *Rational spaces of a given rim-type and the property of universality*, Topology Proceedings, Vol. 11 (1986), pp. 65-113.
- [I₄]. S. D. Iliadis, *Rational spaces and the property of universality*, Fund. Math., 131 (1988), pp. 167-184.
- [I₅]. S. D. Iliadis, *Universal spaces for some families of rim-scattered spaces*, Tsukuba J. of Math., No. 1(1992) pp. 123-160.
- [I-Z]. S. D. Iliadis and S. S. Zafiridou, *Planar rational compacta and universality*, Fund. Math. 141 (1992), pp. 109-118.
- [K]. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No 27.
- [Ku]. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, II, New-York, 1966, 1968.
- [Me]. K. Menger, *Dimensionstheorie*, Leipzig 1928.
- [M-T₁]. J. C. Mayer and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Universal rational spaces*, Dissertationes Mathematicae CCXCIII, Warszawa 1990.
- [M-T₂]. J. C. Mayer and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Containing spaces for planar rational compacta*, Dissertationes Mathematicae CCC, Warszawa 1990.
- [N]. J. Nagata, *Modern Dimension Theory*, (Helderman Verlag, Berlin, revised and extended edition, 1983).

[Nö]. G. Nöbeling, *Über regular-eindimensionale Räume*, Math. Ann. 104, No. 1(1931), 81-91.



ΣΕΙΡΑ ΕΡΕΥΝΗΤΙΚΩΝ ΕΡΓΑΣΙΩΝ
DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

1995

<u>ΑΡΙΘΜΟΣ</u>	<u>ΣΥΓΓΡΑΦΕΑΣ</u>	<u>ΤΙΤΛΟΣ ΑΡΘΡΟΥ</u>
95 - 01	Δ. Οικονομου	Χρήσεις γής και δόμηση στον εξωαστικό χώρο: Η ελληνική εκδοχή της αειφορείας.
95 - 02	G. Petrakos	A European macro-region in the making? The Balkan trade relations of Greece
95 - 03	G. Petrakos	The regional structure of Albania, Bulgaria and Greece: Implications for cross-border cooperation and development
95 - 04	Θ. Μαλούτας	Αποτύπωση της κοινωνικοεπαγγελματικής φυσιογνωμίας των ελληνικών πόλεων. Μεθοδολογικός οδηγός και ένα παράδειγμα: Βόλος
95 - 05	G. Petrakos	European integration and industrial structure in Greece: Prospects and possibilities for convergence

1997

<u>ΑΡΙΘΜΟΣ</u>	<u>ΣΥΓΓΡΑΦΕΑΣ</u>	<u>ΤΙΤΛΟΣ ΑΡΘΡΟΥ</u>
97-01	Υ. Pyrgiotis	Maritime transport in the Mediterranean Basin: conditions for improving East/South traffic, rationalisation and improvement of the infrastructure of ports
97-02	A. Sfougaris O. Christopoulou	Les effets environnementaux de defrichement et de la culture de l'olivier dans une region montagneuse de Grece (Pelion)
97-03	A. Δ. Παπαδούλης	Το παραγωγικό υπόδειγμα της ευέλικτης εξειδίκευσης στο νέο διεθνές οικονομικό περιβάλλον. Μία βιβλιογραφική επισκόπηση.
97-04	Γ. Πετράκος Γ. Σαράτσης	Περιφερειακές Ανισότητες και Χωρική Εξειδίκευση στην Ελλάδα.
97-05	A. Δ. Παπαδούλης	Ενισχυτικές πολιτικές της Ε.Ε. για τις μικρομεσαίες επιχειρήσεις.
97-06	A. Γοσποδίνη	Η Σύνταξη του Χώρου ως Μηχανισμός Διάρθρωσης των Κεντρικών Λειτουργιών της Πόλης: Η περίπτωση του Βόλου
97-07	D. N. Georgiou B. K. Papadopoulos	Convergence in Fuzzy Topological Spaces
97-08	Δ. Οικονόμου	Πολιτική Γης και Εφαρμογή των Πολεοδομικών Σχεδίων
97-09	H. Mertzanis	Cumulative Growth and Unequal Development
97-10	D. N. Georgiou S. D. Iliadis	Rational n-Dimensional Spaces and the Property of Universality



ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ
ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ



004000074196

ΣΗΜΕΙΩΣΗ: Τα άρθρα της Σειράς Ερευνητικών Εργασιών διατίθενται σε περιορισμένο αριθμό αντιτύπων, με σκοπό την προώθηση του επιστημονικού διαλόγου και την διατύπωση κριτικών σκέψεων ή απόψεων. Συνεπώς, δεν θα πρέπει να αναφέρονται σε δημοσιεύσεις, χωρίς την έγκριση των συγγραφέων.

Για πληροφορίες σχετικά με την δημοσίευση επιστημονικών άρθρων και την απόκτηση αντιτύπων της Σειράς, απευθυνθείτε στην Γραμματεία του Τμήματος Μηχανικών Χωροταξίας και Περιφερειακής Ανάπτυξης, Πεδίον Άρεως, Βόλος 38334, τηλ. (0421) 62017, fax (0421) 63793

NOTE: The papers of this Series are released in limited circulation, in order to facilitate discussion and invite criticism. They are only tentative in character and should not be referred to in publications without the permission of the authors. To obtain further information or copies of the Series, please contact the Secretary's Office, Department of Planning and Regional Development, University of Thessaly, Pedion Areos, Volos 38334, Greece, tel. ++ 30 421 62017, fax ++ 30 421 63793

ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ
ΤΜΗΜΑ ΜΗΧΑΝΙΚΩΝ ΧΩΡΟΤΑΞΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ
ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑΚΗΣ ΑΝΑΠΤΥΞΗΣ
Πεδίον Άρεως, Βόλος 38334



UNIVERSITY OF THESSALY
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND
REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Pedion Areos, Volos 38334, Greece